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##  

## Extract from the Minutes of the C OMMITTEE.

## $S$ A T U R D A T , July 16, [1774.]

THE committee for the [province of Pennflyania] met according to adjournment.

John Dickinson, Doctor William Smith, Joseph Read, John Kidd, Elisha Price, William Atlezz Jemes Smith, James Wilson, Daniel Broadhead, john Orei.y, and Wilifam Scull, are appointed to prepare and bring in a draught of inftructions.

Monday. Fuly 18. *The committee appointed to bring in inftructions, reported, that they had made a draught, which they laid upon the table.

Moved, That they be read, -which was done.
Tuefday, Fuly 19. Upon a motion made and feconded, agreed that the draught of intructions brought in by the committee, and which were read, be re-committed to the fame committee.

Wedne/day, fuly 20. The committee having brought in a draught of inttructions, the fame were debated, amended and agreed to.

$$
A 2
$$

Tbur/daj;

* The committees of the counties having been invited, by the committice for the city and county of Philadelphia, to meet them at Philadelphia on the s sth of fuly; this committee thought it their duty, to make fome preparation in the bufinets, that was to be laid before the provincial committee by them. On the 4th of July they appointed a committee for this purpofe; and this meafure enabled thofe appointed by the provincial committee to bring in a draught fo foon.


## [ iv ]

Thurfday, Jíly 21. The inftructions were figned by the chairman. - The committee in a body, waited on the Affembly then fitting, and prefented the fame.

It having been moved, that the effay of the infructions firf propoied to be given to the honourable Affembly of Pennflutuia, by the provincial committee alfembled at Pbilaueiphia the 18 th inftant might be abridged, leaving out the argumentative part, fo as to be more pioper for inftructions, the fame was agreed to; but refolved at the fame time, that the whole work ought to be publifhed, as highly deferving the perulal and ferious confideration of every friend of liberty within thefe colonies.

Agreed unanimoufy, That the thanks $\S$ of this committee be given from the chair to Jorin Dicxinson, Efq; for the great affiltance they have denived from the laudable application of his eminent abilities to the fervice of his country in the above performance.

> Extraft from the Minutes, CHARLES THOMSON, Clerk of the Committee.

## P R E-

§ Mr. Dickinson being abfent this day, on aecount of the funeral of a relation, the next day the chairman, in a very obliging manner, delivered to him from the chair the thanks of the committee; to which he replied :
"Mr. Chatrman,
"I heartily thank this refpectable Afembly for the honour they have conferred upon me, but want words to exprefs the fenfe I feel of their kiudnefs. The mere accidents of meeting with particular books, and converfing with particular men, led me into the train of fentiments, which the committee are pleafed to think juf; and others, with the like opportunities of information would much bette! have deferved to receive the thanks, they now generoully give. 1 confider the approbation of this company as an evidence, that they entertain a favourable opinion of my good intentions, and as an encouragement for all to apply themfelves, in thefe unhappy times, to the fervice of the public, fince even fmall endeavours to promote that fervice, can find a very valuable reward. I will try, during the remainder of my life, to remember my duty to our conmon country, and, it it be polible, to render myfelf worthy of the honour for which I now ftand fo deeply indebted.
"" I thank you, Sir, for the polite and affectionate manncr, in which you have eommunicated the fenfe of the committee to me."

## P $\quad$ R $\quad$ E $\quad$ F A $\quad$ C

WHEN the committee for preparing a draught of inftructions was appointed, it was confidered, whether it would not be projer, to form fome kind of a fketch, however imperfect it might be, of all the grievances of the colonies, and of courfe of their conftitutional rights.

Such an attempt, tho' very rude, might be improved by better hands; and it feemed abfolutely neceflary, no longer to confine ourfelves to occafional complaints and partial remedies, but, if poffible, to attain fome degree of certainty concerning our lives, liberties and properties.

It was perceived, that if the inftructions fhould be formed on this plan, they would comprehend many and ve-

## [ vi ]

ry important pofitions, which it would be proper to introduce, by previoully affigning the reafons, on which they were founded. Otherwife, the pofitions might not appear to the committee to be juft. From this confideration it became neceffary, to render the inftructions long and argumentative; and whoever candidly reflects on the importance of the occafion, will think fuch a method very juftifiable.

The draught of infructions being brought into the provincial committee and read, and no objection being made to any of the principles afferted in them, it was not thought neceffary, that the argumentative part fhould continue any longer in them. The committee, that brought in the draught, therefore moved, that this part of the infructions might be feparated from the reft. Whereupon the draught was recommitted, for this purpofe, to the committee, that brought it in. This was done.

## [ vii]

Several additions have been made to the other part, now called "An Effay," \&c. fince the vote for pub. lifhing. The additions are diftinguifhed by crotchets, thus [ ] and in thefe it was not thought neceffary to obferve the flile of inftructions. The notes have been almolt entirely added fince the vote.

August i, 8774.
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## RESOLUTIONS, $\mathscr{E}^{\circ} c$.

At a provincial meeting of deputies chofin by the Several counties, in Pennfylvania, beld at Philadelphia, Fuly 15, 17 4, and continued by adjournments from day to day.

## $\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathbf{P} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} .\end{array}$

For the city and county of Pbiladelphia.
Thomas Willing, Joseph Moulder, John Dickinson, Anth. Morris, jun. Peter Chevalier, George Gray, Edw. Pennington, John Nixon, Thomas Wharton, Jacob Barge, John Cox,

Thomas Penrose, Joseph Reed, John M. Nesbit, Tho. Wharton, jun. Jonathan B. Smith, Samuel Erwin, James Mrase,
Thomas Fitzimons, Thomas Barcláy, Dr. William Smite. Benjamin Marshall, Isafo Howrle, Samurl Howell, Adam Hubley, William Moulder, George Schlosser, Jöhn Roberts, Samuel Miles, John Bayard, Thomas Mifilin, William Rush, Christoph Ludwic, Charles Thomson.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Bucks.
John Kidd, John Wilkinson, Henry Wynkoop, James Wallace. Joseph Kirkbride,
Cbefter.
Fran. Richardson, Hugh Lloyd, Elisha Price, John Hart, Anthony Wine, Richard Reiley. Lancafter.
George Ross, Emanuel Carpenter, James Webb, Joseph Ferree, Matthias Slough, Moses Erwin. York.
James Smith, Thomas Hartley. Joseph Donaldson, Cumberland.
James Wilson, Robert Macaw, William Irvine. Berks.
Edward Biddle, Thomas Dundas, Daniel Broadhead, Christophe. Schultz. Jonathan Potts,
Northampton.
William Edmund, John Okeley, Peter Kichlein, Jacob Arndt
Northumberland. William Scull, Samuel Hunter. Bedford.
George Woods.
Wefmoreland.
Robert Hannah, James Cavetto.

## $\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & ]\end{array}\right.$

## THOMAS WILLING, Chairman.

## CHARLES THOMSON, Clerk.

Agreed that, in cafe of any difference in fentiment, the queftion be determined by the deputies voting by counties.

The letters from Bofon of the $13^{\text {th }}$ of Mas were then read, and a fhort account given of the fteps taken in confequence thereof, and the meafures now purfuing in this and the neighbouring provinces; after which the following RESOLVES were paffed.

Unan. I.

$\Gamma$HAT we acknowledge ourfelves, and the inhabitants of this province, liege fubjects of his majefty king George the third, to whom they and we owe and will bear true and faithful allegiance

Unan. II. That as the idea of an unconftitutional independence on the parent fate is utterly abhorrent to our principles, we view the unhappy differences between Great. Britain and the Colonies with the deepeft diftrefs and anxiety of mind, as fruitlefs to her, grier-us to us, and deftructive of the beft interefts of both.

Unan. III. That it is therefore our ardent defire, that our ancient harmony with the mo-

## [ 4 ]

ther country fhould be reftored, and a perpetual love and union fublift between us, on the principles of the conftitution, and an interchange of good offices, without the leaft infraction of our mutual rights.

Unan. IV. That the inhabitants of thefe colonies are entitled to the fame rights and liberties within thefe colonies, that the fubjects born in England are entitled to within that realm,

Unan. V. That the power affumed by the parliament of Great-Britain to bind the people of thefe colonies, "by fatutes in all Cases whatsoever," is unconftitutional; and therefore the fource of thefe unhappy differences.

Unan. VI. That the act of parliament, for fhutting up the port of Bofon, is unconftitutional; oppreffive to the inhabitants of that town; dangeruus to the liberties of the Britifo colonies; and therefore, that we confider our breihren at Bofton as fuffering in the common caufe of thefe colonies.

Unan, VII. That the bill for altering the adminiftration of juftice in certain criminal cafes within the province of Maffachufetts-Bay, if paffed into an act of parliament, will be as unconftitutional, oppreffive and dangerous, as the act above-mentioned.

## [ 5 ]

Unan. VIII. That the bill for changing the conftitution of the province of Maffacbufetts Bay, eftablifhed by charter, and enjoyed fince the grant of that charter, if paffed into an act of parliament, will be unconftitutional and dangerous in its confequences to the American colonies.

Unan. IX. That there is an abfolute neceffity, that a congrefs of deputies from the feveral colonies be immediately affembled, to confult together, and form a general plan of conduct to be obferved by all the colonies, for the purpofes of procuring relief for our fuffering brethren, obtaining redrefs of our grievances, preventing future diffenfions, firmly eftablifhing our rights, and reftoring harmony between Great-Britain and her colonies on a conftitutional foundacion.

Unan. X. That, although a fufpenfion of the commerce of this large trading province, with Great-Britain, would greatly diftrefs multitudes of our induftrious inhabitants, yet that facrifice and a much greater we are ready to offer for the prefervation of our liberties; but, in tendernefs to the people of Great-Britain, as well as of this country, and in hopes that nur juft remonftrances will, at length, reach the

## $\left[\begin{array}{ll}6\end{array}\right]$

ears of our gracious fovereign and be no longer treated with contempt by any of our tellow fubjects in England, it is our earneft defire, that the congrefs thould firtt try the gentler mode of ftating our grievances, and making a firm and decent claim of redrefs.
XI. Resolved, by a great majority, That yet notwithftanding, as an unanimisy of counfels and meafures is indifpenfably neceffary for the common welfare, if the congrefs fhall judge agreements of non-importation and non-exportation expedient, the people of this province will join with the other principal and neighbouring colonies, in fuch an affociation of non-importation from and non-exportation to GreatBritain as fhall be agreed on, at the congrefs.
XII. Resolved, by a majority, That if any proceedings of the parliament, of which notice fhall be received, on this continent, before or at the general congrefs, fhall render it neceffary in the opinion of that congrefs, for the colonies to take farther fteps than are mentioned in the eleventh refolve; in fuch cafe, the inhabitants of this province fhall adopt fuch farther fteps, and do all in their power to carry them into execution.

Unan. XIII. That the venders of mer. chandize of every kind; within this province ${ }_{\mathrm{h}}$ ought

## [ 7 ]

ought not to take advantage of the refolves relating to non-importation in this province or elfe where; but that they ought to fell their merchandize, which they now have, or may hereafter import, ar the fame rates they have been accuftomed to do within three months laft paft.

Unan. XIV. That the people of this province will break off all trade, commerce, and dealing, and will have no trade, commerce, or dealing of any kind with any colony on this continent, or with any city or town in fuch colony, or with any individual in any fuch colony, city, or town, which fhall refufe, decline, or neglect to adopt, and carry into execution fuch general plan as fhall be agreed to in congrefs.

Unan. XV. That it is the duty of every member of this committee to promote, as much as he can, the fubfcription fet on foot, in the feveral counties of this province, for the relief of the diftreffed inhabitants of Boftom.

Unan. XV!. That this committee give inftructions on the prefent fituation of public affairs to their reprefentatives, who are to meet next week in Affembly, and requeft them to appoint a proper number of perfons to attend a congrels of deputies from the feveral colonies,

## $\left[\begin{array}{ll}8\end{array}\right]$

nies, at fuch time and place as may be agreed on, to effect one general plan of conduct, for attaining the great and important ends mentioned in the ninth refolve.


INSTRUCTIONS

## INSTRUCTIONS

 From the Committee to the Reprisentatives in Assembly met.Gentiemen,

THE diffenfions between Great-Britain and her colonies on this continent, commencing about ten years ago, fince continually encreafing, and at length grown to fuch an excefs as to involve the latter in deep diftrefs and danger, have excited the good people of this province to take into their ferious confideration the prefent fituation of public affairs.

The inhabitants of the feveral counties qualified to vote at elections, being affembled on due notice, have appointed us their deputies; and in confequence thereof, we being in provincial committee met, efteem it our indifpenfible duty, in purfuance of the truft repofed in us, to give you fuch inflructions, as, at this important period, appear to us to be proper.
$W_{E}$, fpeaking in their names and our own, acknowledge ourfelves liege fubjects of his majefty king George the tbird, to whom " we will be faithful and bear true allegiance."

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
10
\end{array}\right]
$$

Our judgments ard affections attach us, with inviolable loyalty, to his majefty's perfon, family and government.

We acknowledge the prerogatives of the fovereign, among which are included the great powers of making peace and war, treaties, leagues and alliances bindi rus-of appointing all officers, except in cafes where other provifion is made, by grants from the crown, or laws approved by the crown-of confirming or annulling every act of our affembly within the allowed time-and of hearing and determining finally, in council, appeals from our courts of juftice. "The prerogatives are iimited," "as a learned judge oblerves, " by bounds fo certain and notorious, that it is impoffible to exceed them, without the confent of the people on the one hand, or withour, on the other, a violation of that original contract, § which

- 1 BlackRone 237.
§ And though we are Atrangers to the original of mont flates, yet we mult not imagine that what has been here faid, concerning the manner in which civil focieties are formed, is an arbitrary fiction. For fince it is certain, that all civil focieties had a beginning, it is impoffible to conceive, how the members, of which they are compofed, could unite to live together dependent on a fupreme authority, without fuppofing the covenants abovementioned.

Burlemaqui's Princ. of pol. law, wol. 2. p. 29.
And in fact, upon confidering the primitive flate of man, it appears moft certain, that the appellations of fovereigns and fubjects, mafters and llaves, are unknown to nature.

## [11]

which, in all ftates impliedly, and in ours moft exprefsly, fubfits between the prince and fub-ject.-For thefe prerogatives are vefted in the crown for the fupport of focicty, and do not in-

## B 2

Nature has made us all of the fame fpecies, all equal, all free and independent of each other; and was willing that thofe, on whom the has beftowed the fame faculties, fhould have all the fame rights. It is therefore beyond all doubt that in this primitive fate of nature, no man has of himelelf. an original right of commanding others, or any title to fovereignty.

There is none but God alone that has of himfelf, and in confequence ot his nature and perfections, a natural, effential, and inherent right of giving laws to mankind, and of exercifing an abfolute fovereignty over them. The cafe is otherwife between man and man, they are of their own nature as independent of one another, as they are dependent on God. This liberty and independence is therefore a right naturally belonging to man, of which it would be unjuft to deprive him againt his will. $\quad 1 d . p .38$.
'There is a beautiful paffage of Cicero's to this purpofe *. Nothing is more agreeable to the Jupreme Lleity, that governs this univerje, than civil focietties la:wfuly efiablijped.

When therefore we give to fovereigns the title of God's vicegesents upon earth, this does not imply that they derive their authority immediately from God, but it iggnifies only, that by means of the power lodged in their hands, and with which the people have invefted them, they maintain, agreeable to the views of the Deity, both order and peace, and thus procure the happinefs of mankind.

$$
\text { Id. p. } 40 .
$$

## But

* Nihil cft illi principi Deo, qui omnem hunc mundum regit, quod quidem in terris fiat acceptius, quam confilia catufque honinum jure fociati, quæ civitates appellatur. Sonin, Scip. c. s.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
12
\end{array}\right]
$$

trench any farther on our natural liberties, than is expedient for the maintenance of our civil."

But it is our misfortune, that we are compelled loudly to call your attention to the confideration of another power, totally different in kind.----limited, as it is alledged, by no " bounds," and § " wearing a moft dreadful alpect," with regard to Aincrica. We mean the

But it will be here objected, that the feripture itfelf fays, that every man ought to be fubject to the fupreme powers, becaufe they are eliablifhed by God *. I anfwer, with Grotius, that men have eflablithed civil focieties, not in confequence of a divine ordinance, but of their voluntary motion, induced to it by the experience they had had of the incapacity which feparate families were under, of defending themfelves againtt the infults and attacks of human violence. From thence (he adds) arifes the civil power, which St. Pcter, for this reafon, calls a buman power, $\|$ though in other parts of feripture it bears the name of a divine inftitution $\dagger$, becaufe God has approved of it as an eftablifhment ufeful to mankind $\ddagger$.

All the other arguments, in favour of the opinion we have been here refuting, do not even deferve our notice. In general, it may be obferved, that never were more wretched reafons produced than upon this fubject, as the seader may be eafily convinced by reading Pufendorf on the law of nature and nations, who, in the chapter correfponding to this, gives thefe arguments at length, and compleatly refutes them $I$.

Id. p. 42, 43 .

[^0]
## § 1 Blackfone 270.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
13 & 13
\end{array}\right]
$$

the power clamed by parliament, of righe, in bind the people of thele colonies by ttatures; "in all cases whatsoever"-a power, a we are not, and, from local circumftances, comnot be reprelinted there, utcerly lubveriver of our natural and civil liberties--..--patt events and reation convincing us, that there never exifted, and never can exift, a ftate thus fubordinate to another, and yet retaining the flighteft portion of freedom or happinefs.

The import of the words above quoted needs no defcant; for the wit of man, is we apprehend, cannot poffibly form a more clear, concife, and comprehentive definition and firtence of flavery, than thefe exprefions contain.

This power clamed by Great-Britain, an! the late attempts to exercife it over thele colonies, prefent to our view two events, one of which mult inevitably take place, if the thall continue to infift on her pretenfions. Either. the colonifts will fink from the rank of freemen into the clafs of flaves, overwhelmed with all the miferics and vices, prov'd by the hiftory: of mankind to be infeparably annexed to that deplorable condition: Or, if they have fenfe and virtue enough to exert themfelves in ftriving to avoid this perdition, they mull be involved in an oppofition dreadfal even in contemplation.

## [14]

Honcur, jufice, and bumanity call upor us to hold, and to tranfinit to our potterity, that liberty, whish we received from our anceftors. It is not our duty to leave wealth to our children: But it is our duty, to leave liberty to them. No infamy, iniquity, or cruelty, can exceed our owa, if we, born and educated in a country of freedom, entitled to its bleffings, and knowing their value, pufilianimounly defercing the poft affigned us by divine Providence, furrender fucceeding generations to a condition of wretchednefs, from which no human efforts, in all probability, will be fufficient to extricate them; the experience of all ftates mournfully demonflrating to us, that when arbitrary power has been eftablifhed over them, even the wifelt and braveft nations, that ever flourihed, have, in a few years, degenerated into atject and wretched vaffals.

So alarming are the meafures already taken for laying the foundations of a defpotic authority of Great-Britain over us, and with fuch artful and inceffant vigilence is the plan profecuted, that unlefs the prefent generation can interrupt the work, while it is going forward, can it be imagined, that our children, debilitated by our imprudence and fupinenefs, will be able to overthrow it, when compleated? Populous and powerful as thefe Colones may grow, they will ftill find arbitrary domination not only ftrengthening

## $\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[ } & 15\end{array}\right]$

with their frength, but exceeding, in the fwiftnefs of its progreffion, as it ever has doae, all the artlefs advantages, thar can acrue to the governed. Thefe advance with a regularity, which the divine author of our exiftence has impreffed on the laudable purfuits of his creatures: But delpotifin,* unchecked and unbounded by any lacos-never fatisfied with what has been done, while any thing remains to be done, for the accomplifment of its purpofes-confiding, and capable

- As virtue is neceffary in a republic, and in a monarchy bonor, fo fear is neceffary in a defpotic government: with regard to virtue, there is no occafion for it , and honor would be extremely dangerous.

Here the inmenfe power of the prince is devolved intirely upon thife to whom he is pleafed to entruft it. Perfons capable of fetting a value upon themfelves would be likely to create revolutions. Fear mult therefore deprefs their fpirits, and extingtifh even the leaft fenfe of ambition.

> Mont. Spir. of Laws, vol. 1. b. III. cb. g. An idea of deppotic power.

When the favages of Louifiana are defirous of fruit, they cut the tree to the root, and gather the fruit $\dagger$. This is an emblem of defpotic government. Id. book V. c. 13.

The principle of defpotic government is fear ; but a timid, ignorant, and faint fpirited people have no occafion for a great number of laws.

Every thing ought to depend here on two or three ideas; therefore there is no neceffity that any new notions fhould be added. When we want to break a horfe, we take care not to let him change his mafter, his leffon, or his pace. Thus an impreffion is made on his brain by two or three motions and no more.

Id. book V. ch. 14 .
$\uparrow$ Edifying letters, 1 coll. p. 315.
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capable of confiding, only in the annibilation of all coppofition, -- holds its courfe with fuch unabating and deftructive rapidity, that the world has become its prey, and at this day, Great-Britain :nd her dominions excepted, there is fcarce a jpot on the globe inhabited by civilized nations, where the veltiges of freedom are to be obferved.

To us therefore it appears, at this alarming period, our duty to God, to our country, to ourfleses; and to our pofterity, to exert our útmoft ability, in promoting and eftablifhing harmony between Great-Britain ard thefe colonies, on a constitutional foundation.

Fok attaining this great and defirable eacd, we requett you to appoint a proper n mber of perfons to attend a congrefs of deputies from the feveral colonies, appointed, or to be appointed, ly the reprefentatives of the people of the colonies refpectively in affembly, or convention, or by delegates cholen by the counties generally in the refpective colonies, and met in provincial committee, at fuch time and place as hall be generally agreed on: And that the deputies from this province may be induced and encouraged to concur in fach meafuies, as may be devifed for the common welfare, we think it proper, particularly to inform you, how far, we apprehend, they will be fupported in theis condact by their conftituents.

## $[17]$

[In tbis place was inferted the argumentative part, which in tbis publication is called "An Eflay."J

The affumed parliamentary power of internal legiflation, and the power of regulating trade, as of late exercifed, and defigned to be exercifed, we are thoroughly convinced, will prove unfailing and plentiful fources of diffentions to our mother country and thefe colonies, unlefs fome expedients can be adopted to render her fecure of receiving from us every emolument, that can in juftice and reafon be expected, and us fecure in our lives, properties, and an equitable inare of commerce.

Mournfully revolving in our minds the calamities, that, arifing from thefe diffentions, will moft probably fall on us and our children, we will now lay before you the particular points we requeft of you to procure, if poffible, to be finally decided; and the meafures that appear to us moft likely to produce fuch a defirable period of our diftreffes and dangers. We therefore defire of you...

First. That the Deputies you appoint, may be inftructed by you Atrenuoully to exert themfelves, at the entuing Congrefs, to obtain a renunciation, on the part of Great-Britain, of
ail powers under the flatute of the 35 of Henry the eighth, chapter the $2 \mathrm{~d} . .-$ of all powers of internal legination-- ot impofing taxes or duties internal or external---and of regulating trade, except with refpect to any new articles of commerce, which the Colonies may hereafter raife, as filk, wine, \&cc. referving a right to carry thefe from one colony to another---a repeal of all ftatutes for quartering troops in the Colonies, or fubjecting them to any expence on account of fuch troops---of all ftatutes impofing duties to be paid in the Colonies, that were paffed at the acceffion of his prefent Majefty, or before this time; which ever period fhall be judged moft advifeable--.of the ftatutes giving the courts of admiralry in the colonies greater power than courts of admiralty have in England ---of the ftatutes of the 5 th of George the fecond, chapter the 22 d , and of the 23 d of George the fecond, chapter the 29 th $\ldots$ of the ftatute for fhutting up the port of Bofton--and of every other ftatute particularly affecting the province of Maffacbufetts Bay, paffed in the laft feffion of Parliament.

In cale of obtaining thefe terms, it is our opinion, that it will be reafonable for the colonies to engage their obedience to the acts or parliament, commonly called the acts of navigation, and

## [ 19 ]

and to every other act of parliament declared to have force, at this time, in thefe colonies, other than thofe above-mentioned, and to confirm fuch ftatutes by acts of the feveral affemblies. It is allo our opinion, that taking example from our mother country, in abolifhing the " courts of wards and liveries, tenures in capite, and by knight's fervice, and purveyance," it will be reafonable for the colonies, in cafe of obtaining the terms before mentioned, to fettle a certain annual revenue on his majefty, his heirs and fucceffors, fubject to the controul of parliament, and to fatisfy all damages done to the EaftIndia company.

This our idea of fettling a revenue, arifes from a fenfe of duty to our fovereign, and of efteem for our mother country. We know and have felt the benefits of a fubordinate connexion with her. We neither are fo ftupid as to be ignorant of them; nor fo unjuft as to deny them. We have alfo experienced the pleafures of gratitude and love, as well as advantages from that connexion. The impreffions are not yet erafed. We confider her circumftances with tender concern. We have not been wanting, when conftitutionally called upon, to affift her to the utmoft of our abilities; infomuch that the has judged it reafonable to

## [ 80 ]

make us recompences for our overftrained exertions: And we now think we ought to contribute more than we do, to the alleviation of her burthens.

Whatever may be faid of thefe propofals on either fide of the Atlantic, this is not a time, either for timidity or rafhnefs. We pertectly know, that the great caufe now agitated, is to be conducted to a happy conclufion, only by that well tempered compofition of counfels, which firmnels, prudence, loyalty to our Sovereign, zefpect to our parent State, and atfection to our native country, united muft form.

By fuch a compact, Great-Britain will fecure every benefit, that the parliaméntary wifdom of ages has thought proper to attach to her. From ber alone we fhall continue ro receive manufactures. To ber alone we fhall continue to carry the vaft multitude of enumer ated articles of commerce, the exportation of which her policy has thought fit to confine to berfelf. With fuch parts of the world only, as the has appointed us to deal, we thall continue to deal; and fucb commodities only, as the has permitted us to bring.from them, we fhall continue to bring. The executive and controuling powers of the crown will retain their prefent

## [ 21 ]

prefent full force and operation. We fhall contentedly labour for her as affectionate friends, in time of tranquility; and cheerfully fpend for ber, as dutiful cbildren, our treafure and our blood, in time of war. She will receive a certain income * from us, without the trouble or

## expence

- The train of Officers, employed by Great.Britain, confume a very large part of what the takes from us. She therefore increafes our diftreffes to make up for that confumption. They will hereafter grow more aid more oppreffive, we more and more uneafy, fhe more and more diftuibed. We could raife an-equal fum in a much more eafyo egual, and cheap manner, than the can do. The attention of fmall fates extends much more efficacioully and beneficially to every part of the territories, than that of the adminiftration of a vaft empire. The reprefentatives in affembly. who are taxed, when the People are taxed, and accountable to Them will have double motives to take care, that the raifingemoney is managed in the beft way. The Houfe of Commons would not bear to examine every particular relating to the juft taxation of every county on this continent, and to fettle all the accounts fairly. If they could go through the immenfe labour, it would be impofinble for them to do any other bufinefs. In hort, by not doing it, they would be unjuft; by doing it they would be ufelefs. Equity and reafon demonftrate that fuch a power belongs not to them. The feme-reafoning holde-acto-tho applisation of-money, We have had fome remarkable inftances on this continent fome few years ago, of the crown being according so all the forms of bufine/s charged with articles, that never went to the ufe of the crown. Thefe were perquiftes, and who could be fo puritanical as to blame the civii word. It is faid, our barracks coft about $\mathcal{L} .8000$ of this money-and that the barracks at another piace, not deferving a comparióos
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expence of collecting it---without being con. ftantly difturbed by complaints of grievances, which fhe cannot juftify, and will not redrefs. In cafe of war, or in any emergency of diftrefs
parifon with ours, coft $£ 40,000$ fterling. We built our own, ourfelves, and were as faving and careful as we could be, it may be fuppofed. If money is raifed upon us by parliament ; of one thoufand pounds, taken out of our pockets, not one hundred, in all probability, will be ufefully applied to the fervice of the crown. Deficiencies will enfuethey mutt be fupplied-other acts are made -fill otherstill our " "unrefrefented blades of gra/s," too frequently and clofely cut down and expofed to the burning heat of an unfetting Sun, ever " in its meridian," perih to their deepeft roots.
" There is not apon earth (fays the excellent Gordon) " a nation, which having had unaccountable magiftrates, " has not felt them to be crying and confuming mifchiefs. " In: truth, where they are moft limited, it has been often " as much as a whole people could do to reftrain them to " their truft, and to keep them from violence; and fuch ": frequently has been their propenfity to be lawlefs, that " nothing but a violent death could cure them of their vi" olence. This evil has its root in human nature ; men " will never think they bave enough, whillt they can take " more; nor be content with a part, when they can feize ": the whole." $\ddagger$
"That the bufinefs of moft kingdoms has been ill man"t aged, proceeds from this; it imports the lower rank of men only, and the people (whofe cries feldom reach the prince, till it is too late, and till all is paft remedy) that " matters hould be frugally ordered, becaufe taxes muft " arife

- Speech of Lord Camden.
+Cajo's Lett. isx. 78.
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to her, we fhall alfo be ready and willing to contribute all aids within our power : And we folemniy declare, that on fuch occafions, if we or our pofterity fhall refufe, neglect or decline thus
" arife from their fiweat and labour. But the great ones, " who heretofore have had the prince's ear and favour, or " who hoped to have him in their poffeffion, were fwayed " by another fort ot interelt; they like profufion, as having " had a profpect to be gainers by it, they can eafily fet their " account even with the fate; a fmall charge upon their land " is more than balanced by a great place, or a large penfon. $\dagger$ " See the lord keeper Nortb's account of abufes in the conduct and difpofal of the public money in the time of king Ch. II.§ Thofe who, in our times, are the conductors of the fame kind of dirty work, may compare the modern ingenious ways and means with thofe of their worthy predeceffors.

Among others, pretended want of money in the treafury, in order to have a pretence for giving an exorbitant price for neceffaries. Lending the crown at 8 per cent. money which was raifed at 5 and 6 . Paying with the public money, pretending it to be private, and taking intereft. Depreciating the public debts and funds, buying them of the holders at half their worth, and afterwards by intereft getting them paid in full. Pretending to give up all power in recommending to places for a confideration, and then infiting on recommending ftill, and fo getting both ways. Rolling over loffes upon the crown, or public, while the gain was to fink into private pockets. A father fopping a large fum in his own hand, which was to have been paid the public creditors. Before he can be brought to account, he dies. The money finks into the pocket of his heir. He obtains 2 pardon

[^1]
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to contribute, it will be a mean and manifeft violation of a plain duty, and a weak and wicked defertion of the true interefts of this province, which ever have been and mult be bound

## up

pardon of all his father's debts. Grofs frauds in office found out. Then new officers and falaries fet up as checks. The new prove as great knaves as the old, and form a fcheme of collufion and mutual underfanding. But the public pays for all, and the power of the court is Atrengthened. An old placeman begs leave to fell. Pockets the money, and by and by, through interell, gets a new place gratis. Extravagant men fquander their own money in their public employments of embaffadors, governors, \&c. and charge the public wish more than they have really fpent, while what they really fpent was ten times more than neceffary. The bufinefs of old cffices transferred to new : but the profits of the old fill kept up, though become finecures. An old fervant of the public retires upon a penfion. He who fucceeds him, by interef. gets it continued to him. Another gets an addition to his falary, and then fells his place for a sreat deal more than it cof him, and fo an additional load is laid on the public: for the addition mult be continued, becaufe the place was bought. An annual fum is granted by the public for a public ufe, as keeping up a harbour, or the like. A private man, by intereft, gets a grant of the jobb; the public concern is neglected, and the public poc. ket picked. Crown lands perpetually begged and given away to ftrengthen the court intereft. The crown conftantly kept in debt, and parliament folicited to pay thofe debts occafioned merely by the voracity of the court. Commanders of fleets order a iuperfluous quantity of ftores. By collufion between them and the ftore-mafters, this fuperfluous quantity is fold again to the king, and the money funk in their pockets. Sometimes the fore-mafters gave receipts for more than was received into the king's tores, and the
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up in the profperity of our mother country. Qur union, founded on mutual compacts and mutual benefits, will be indiffoluble, at leait more firm, than an union perpetually difturbed by difputed rights and retorted injuries.

D<br>Secondly.

money was divided among the plunderers. The king's works done by the day, whereas it would have been cheaper by the great. Money pretended to be coined gratis. Lifts of large fums newly coined produced. But the contrivance was to make the pieces unequal, and then the too heavy pieces were carried back to the mint, and the profit funk in private pockets, \&c.

Secret fervice is a huge cloke thrown over an immenfe fcene of corruption; and under this cloke we muft not peep. Our court-men tell us, there muft be large fums expended in this way, and thofe fums cannot be accounted for; becaufe the jervices done tor them mult never be known. But we find, that the commons A. D. 1708 addreffed queen Anne for accounts of pentions paid for fecres firvice to members of parliament, or to any perfons in truft for them; and that - the queen ordered faid account to be - laid before the boufe.' $\dagger$

Contrafis are a great fund of minifterial influence. It is well known, that our minittry do not accept the moft reaJonable offer; but the offer which is made by thofe, who have the greateft parliamentary intereft; and that in war time, every man, who furnibes for the government, is eniricbet; in France the contrary; which fhews, that we manage our public money much worfe than the French miniftry do theirs. In the late war it is notorious, that feveral of our purveyors and commiffaries got eftates fufficient to fet them up for earls and dukes. But as Burnet \| fays, ' the re-- gard, that is fhewn to members of parliament among us, - caufea

[^2]
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Secondly. If all the terms abovementioned cannot be obtained, it is our opinion, that the meafures adopted by the congrels for olir relief fhould never be relinqui/bed or intermitted, until tbofe relating to the troops,---internal legiflation, .--impofition of taxes or duties here-atter,---the 35th of Henry the 8th, chapter the
2d,--

- caufes that few abufes can be inquired into, or difcovered.' Pol. Discu. b. v. p. 274-277.

What redrefs could a poor plundered, unreprefented coiony obtain againft a Verres, fupported by a frong parliamentary influence. We know what feveral governors of Minot ca have dared to do. A governor of Gibraltar has ventured to opprefs even the garrifon of that important place. The very drudgery of examining accounts, would probably fecure him. If caft, the injuries could not be recompenfed. A fucceffor might prove as bad-" Viftrix provin ia plorat.."

It has been faid in Great Britain, that Lord Chatbam, Lord Camden, and fome other great men, have taught the colonies to defpife her authority. But it is as little true as the multitude of inveltives vented againft the colonies. The contant practice in thefe publications, is to confound facts and dates, and then to rail. It thould be rememberered, that, the oppofition in Anserica to the flamp act was fully formed, and the congrefs held at New-York, before it was known on this continent, that our caufe was efpoufed by any man of note at hume. We thould be glad to count fuch vencrable names in the litt of our friends. They are the true friends of our mother country, as well as of this; and ages unborn will blefs their memory. But if every man in Great Britair, is carried by the fream of prejudices into fentimepts, hofile to our freedom, that freedom will not be the lefs efeemed, or the fooner relinquilhed by Americans.

## [ 27 ]

$2 \mathrm{~d},--$ the extenfion of admiralty courts, - -the port of Bofion and the province of Maflacbujects Bay are obtained. Every modification or quálification of thefe points, in our judgment, fhould be inadmifible. To obtain them, we think it may be prudent to fettle fome revenue as above-mentioned, and to fatisfy the EaftIndia company.

Thirdly. If neither of thefe plans flould be agreed to, in congrefs, but fome other of a fimilar nature fhall be framed, though on the terms of a revenue, and fatisfaction to the FafIndia company, and though it fhall be agreed by the congrefs to admit no modification or qualification in the terms they fhall infift on, i. defire your deputies may be inftructed to concur with the other deputies in it; and we will accede to, and carry it into execution as far as we can.

Fourthly. As to the regulation of trade... we are of opinion, that by making fome few amendments, the commerce of the colonies might be fettled on a firm eftablifhment, advantageous to Great-Britain and them, requiring and fubject to no future alcerations, without mutual confent. We defire to have this point confidered by the congrefs; and fuch meafures taken, as they may judge proper.

D 2

In order to obtain redrefs of our common grievances, we obferve a general inclination among the colonies of entering into agreements of non-importation and non-exportation. We are fully convinced, that fuch agreements would withhold very large fupplies from Great. Britain and no words can defcribe our contempt and abhorrence of thofe colonifts, if any fuch there are, who, from a fordid and ill-judged attachment to their own immediate profit, would purfue that, to the injury of their country, in this great ftruggle for all the bleffings of liberty. It would appear to us a mot wafteful frugality, that would lofe every important poffelfion by too ftrict-an attention to fmall things, and lofe alfo even thefe at the laft. For our part, we will cheerfully make any facrifice, when neceffary, to preferve the freedom of our country. But other confiderations have weight with us. We wifh every mark of refpect to be paid to his majefty's adminiftration. We have been taught from our youth to entertain tender and brotherly affections for our fellow Gabjects at home. The interruption of our conmerce muft difrefs great numbers of them. This we earneflly defire to avoid. We theretore requeft, that the deputies you fhall appoint may be inftructed to exert themfelves, at the congrefs, to induce the members of it, to confent to make a full and precife ftate of grievances and a decent yet firm claim of redrefs, and to wait the $e$ vent
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vent, before any other ftep is taken. It is our opinion, that perfons chould be appointed and fent home to prefent this itate and claim, at the court of Great-Britain.

If the congrefs fhall chufe to form agreements of non importation and non exportation immediately, we defire the deputies from this province will endeavour to have them fo formed as to be binding upon all, and that they may be permanent, fhould the public intereft require it. They cannot be efficacious, unlefs they can be permanent; and it appears to us that there will be a danger of their being infringed, if they are not formed with great caution and deliberation. We have determined in the prefent fituation of public affairs to confent to.a Aloppage of our commerce with Great-Britain only; but in cafe any proceedings of the parliament, of which notice fhall be received on this continent, before or at the congrefs, fhall render it neceflary, in the opinion of the cungrefs to take further fteps, the inhabitants of this province will adopt fuch fteps, and do all in their power to carry them into execution.

This extenfive power we commit to the congrefs, for the fake of preferving that unanimity of counfel and conduct, that alone can work out the falvation of thefe colonies, with a ftrong hope and truft, that they will not draw this province into any meafure judged by us, who muft

## [ $\left.3^{\circ}\right]$

be better acquainted with its ftate than ftrangers, highly inexpedient. Of this kind, we know any other ftoppage of trade, but of that with Great-Britain, will be. Even this ftep we fhould be extremely afflicted to fee taken by the congrefs, before the other mode above pointed out is tried. But fhould it be taken, we apprehend, that a plan of reftrictions may be fo framed, agreeable to the refpective circumftances of the feveral colonies, as to rende, Great-Britain fenfible of the imprudence of her counfels, and yet leave them a neceffary commerce. And here it may not be improper to take notice, that if redrefs of our grievances car'not be wholly obtained, the extent or continuance of our reftrictions may, in fome fort, be proportioned to the rights we are contending for, and the degree of relief aiforded us. This mode will render our oppofition as perpetual as our opprefion, and will be a continual Claim and Ascertion of our Rights. We cannot exprefs tive anxiety, with which we wifh the conideration of thefe points to be recommended to yor. We are perfuaded, that if thefe colonies fail of unanimity or prudence in forming their refolutions, or of fidelity in obferving them, the oppofition by non-importation and non exportation agrecments will be ineffectual; and then we fhall have only the alternative of a more dangerous contention, or of a tame fubmiffion.

## [ $3^{2}$ ]

Jpon the whoie, we Mall repofe the higheft confidence in the wifdom and integrity of the enfuing congrefs: And though we have, for the fatisfaction of the good people of this province, who have chofen us for this exprefs purpofe, offered to you fuch inftructions, as have appeared expedient to us, yet it is not our meaning, that by thefe or by any you miay think proper to give them, the deputies appointed by you fhould be reftrained from agreeing to any meafures, that fhall be approved by the congrefs. We fhould be glad the deputies chofen by you could, by their influence, procure our opinions hereby communicated to you to be as nearly adhered to, as may be poffible: Bur to avoid difficulties, we defire that they may be inftructed by you, to agree to any meafures that fhall be approved by the congrefs, the inhabitants $\mathrm{c} f$ this province having refolved to adopt and carry thern into execution.---Eaftly.--We defire the deputies from this province, may endeavour to procure an adjournment of the congrets, to fuch a day as they fhall judge proper, and the appointment of a ftanding commit. tec.

Agreed, that Fobn Dickinfon, Fofeph Read, and Cbarles Thomfon, be a committee to write to the neighbouring colonies, and communicate to them the zefolves and inftructions.

## $\left[3^{2}\right]$

Agreed, that the committee for the city and county of Pbiladelpbia, or any fifteen of them, be a committee of correfpondence for the general committee of this province.

Extralt from the Minutes,

CHARLES THOMSON, Cl. Com.

## A N <br> $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{Y}$, ${ }^{\circ}$ c.

i HE authority of parliament has within thefe few years been a queftion much agitated ; and great difficulty, we underftand, has occurred, in tracing the line between the rights of the mother country and thofe of the colonies. The modern doctrine of the former is indeed truly remarkable; for though it points out, what are not our rights, yet we can never learn from it, what are our rights. As for example---Great-s, $\times^{\circ} \%$ claims a right to take away nine-tei..ts of our eftates--have we a right to the remaining tenth ? No.---To fay we have, E is

* This piece has been written in fuch hafte, under fo great indifpofition, and amidft fuch a confufion of public affairs that it is hoped, its inaccuracies will be looked upon hindulgence. If longer time could have been beflowel $3 p+n$ its correction, it would have been at leaft fhorter, if not more exact. The firf appointment of a committee to form a draught of inflructions, was made on the fourth of laft month. See note on the extract, dated the 18 th of July.
is " "traiterous" pofition, denving her fupreme leginature. So far from baving sroperty, according to thefe late found novels, we are ourSelves a troper:y.

We pretend not to any confiderable fhare of learning ; but, thanks be to divine Goodnefs, common fenfe, experience, and fome acquaintance with the conftitution, teach us a few falutary truths on this important fubject.

Whatever difficulty m: occur in tracing the line, yet we contend, that by the laws of God, and by the laws of the conftitution, a line there muft be, beyond which her autnority cannot extend. For all thefe laws are $\oint$ " grounded on reafon, tull of juftice, $\dagger$ and true equity," mild,
§ Parlt. Deb, 7. 409. "What of that? Shall not we give judgment. becaufe it is not adjudged in the books before? We will give judgment according toreason, and if there be no reafon in the books, I will not regard them " Speech of suderfon, Lord chief jultice of the queen's bench, in the reign of Elizabeth. Gouldsb. Rep. 96. edit. 1653.
$\dagger$ "It feems to me, that the natural jufice, which is a duty of man, ought to be flyled the parent, and nouri/ber, of every otber virtue : and affuredly, without this habit, a man can neither moderate his defires, nor be brave, nor wife. For, it is a barmony, and peace, of the whole foul; with a full concert of words, and actions: And the dominion of fuch a habit may be rendered more confsicuous, if we examine the other habits of virtue. For the good of thefe is private,
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mild, and calculated to promote the freedom and weltare of men. Thei objects never can be attained by abolifhing every reftriction, on the part of the governors, and extinguifhing every right, on the part of the governed.

Suppose it be allowed, that the line is not exprefsly drawn, is it thence to be concluded, there is no implie? lise? No Englifh lawyer, we prefume, will venture to make the bold affertion. "The King may reject what bills, may make what treaties, may coin what money, may create what peers, and may pardon what of-

$$
\mathrm{E}_{2}
$$

fences,
private, refpecting the individual; but the good of natural juftice refpects whole fyftems, and throughout the univerfe."

In the celefial fyfem of the world, as it marfhals out the univerfal rule of things, which are thus decteed by God; it is providence, and harmony, and right. in a civ lfiate, it is juftly called peace, and good order. In a domefic fate, it is the like mindedne/s of hulband, and wife, towards each other; the good will of fubordinate members. In the body, it is bealth, and fymmetry of parts; which are principal things, and much heoved by every living creature. In the Joul, it is ruifdom; that wifdom which arifes amongी men, from the knowledge of caufes, and from natural jufice.

Since there:ore, this habit doth thus iutiruct, and preferve, the whole and every part; rendering all the fame, in heart, and in tongue, why may it not be faluted, by the univerfal voice; thefarent and nourisher of every virtue?"

Pol. Pyta, Luc, afad STOzhum, pa, 10j, edit. Tiguri, 1559.
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fences, he pleases." $\ddagger$ But is his prerogative refpecting thefe branches of it, unlimited ? By no means. The words following thofe next above quoted from the " commentaries on the laws of England," are-.." unlefs where the conftitution hath exprefsly, or by evident conseQuence, laid down fome exception or boundary; declaring, that thus far the prerogative fhall go, and no farther." There are " fome boundaries" then, befides the " exprefs exceptions;" and according to the ftrong expreffion here ufed, " the conftitution declares there are." What " evident confequence" forms thofe " boundaries ?"

The happinefs of the people is the end, and, if the term is allowable, we would call it the body of the conftitution. Freedom is the fpirit or foul. As the foul, fpeaking of nature, has 3 right to prevent or relieve, if it can, any mifchief to the body of the individual, and to keep it in the beft health ; fo the foul, fpeaking of the conftitution, has a right to prevent, or relieve, any mifchief to the body of the fociety, and to keep that in the belt health. The " evident confequence" mentioned, mult mean a tendency to injure this health, that is, to diminifh the happinefs of the people.-.or it mult mean

## [ 37 ]

mean nothing. If therefore; the conftitution "declares by evident consequence;" that a tendency to diminifh the happinefs of the people, is a proof, that power exceeds a " boundary," beyond which it cught not to " go;" the matter is brought to this fing!e point, whether taking our money from us without our conlent, depriving us of trial by jury, changing conflitut:ons of government, and abolifhing the priviledge of the writ of babeas corpus, by feizing and || carrying us to England, have not a great-
|| " Of great importance to the public is the prefervation of this perfonal liberty: for if once it were left in the power of any, the higheft magiffrate, to imprifor arbitrarily, whomever he or his officers thought proper, (as in France it is daily practifed by the crown) There would soon de an end of all other rights and immunities." " A natural and regular confequence of this perfonal liberty is, that every Englifoman may claim a right to abide in his own country so long as he pleases, and not to be driven from it unlefs by the fentence of law. Exile or tranfportation is a punifhment unknown to the common law. - "The king cannot conftitute a man lord lieutenant Of Ireland againft his will, nor make him a foreign ambaffador. For this might in reality be no more than an honora. ble exile." I Blackstone 135 to 138 .
"Thefe precedents collected by the reverend and learned judge, chief jultice Anderfon and all written with his own hand, do fully refolve for the maintenance of the antient and fundamental point of Liberty of the person, to be regained by Habeas Corpars, when any one is imprifoned." Parl. Hift. 7. 418.

## $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{\left[\begin{array}{ll}88 & ]\end{array}\right]}\end{array}\right.$

er tendency to diminifh our happinefs, than any enormitirs a ${ }^{1}$ 'ng can commit under pretence of prerefrativ: can have to dimmith the happ:nefs of .at futgects in England. To come to a decifion upon this point, no long time need be required. To make this comparifon, is ftating the claim of parliament in the moft favourable light: For it puts the aflumed power of pariiament, to do, " in all cases whatsoever," what they pleafe, upon the fame tooting with the acknoweledged power of the King, " to make what peers---pardon what offences, \&xc. be pleafes." But in this light. that power is not entitled to be viewed. Such is the wiftom of the Engliflo conftitution, that it "declares" the King may tranfgrefs a " boundary laid down by evident confuquence," even by ufing the pocver with which he is exprefsly vefted by the conftutution, in doing thofe very acts which he is exprefsly trufted by the conftitution to do---as by creating too many or improper perfons, peers; or by pardoning too many or too great offences, \&c. But has the confticution of Englatidexprefsly "declared," that the parliament of Great-Britain may take away the money of English colonifts without their confent, and deprive them of tryal by jury, \&ce? It cannot be pretended. True it is, that it has been folemnly declared by parliament, that parliament bas fucin a power. But that declaration leaves the point juft as it was before: For if parliament

## [ 39 ]

ment had not the power before, the declaration could not give it. Indeed if parliament is really " omnipotent," * that power is juft and conftitutional. We further obferve, that no Englifb iawyer, as we remember, has pointed out precifly the line beyond which, if a king, fhall " go," refiftance becomes lawful. General terms have been ufed. The learned author of thofe commentaries, that notwithftanding fome human frailties, do him fo much honor, has thought proper, when treating of this fubject, to point out the "piecedent" of the revolution, as fixing the line. We would not venture any reflexion on fo great a man. It may not become us. Nor can we be provoked by his expreffions concerning colonifts; becaufe they perhaps contain his real, though hafty fentiments. Surely, it was not his intention to condemn thofe excellent men, who cafting every tender confideration behind them, nobly prefented themfelves aganft the tyranny of the unfortunate and milguided Cburles's reign; thofe men, whom the houle of commons, even after the reftoration, would not fuffer to be ceafured.

We are fenfible of the objection that may be made, as to drawing a line between rights on each fide, and the cafe of a plain violation of rights. We think it not material. Circumftances have attually produced, and may again produce this
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this quection.- What conduet of a prince renders refiftance lawful? fames the fecond and his father violated exprefs rights of their Jubjects, by doing what their oron exprefs rights gave them no title to do, as by raifing money, and levying troops, without confent of parliament. It is not even fettled, what violation of thole will juftify refiftance. Bui may not fome future prince confining himfelf to the exercife of bis own exprefs rights, fuch as have been mentioned, aet in a manner, that will be a tranfgreffion of a " boundary" laid down by "evident confequence," the " conftitution declaring he fhould go no further"? May not this exercife of thefe bis exprefs rights, be fo far extended, as to introduce univerfal confufion and a fubverfion of the ends of government? The whole may be oppreffive, and yet any fingle inftance legal. The cafes may be improbable; but we have feen and now feel events once as little expected. Is it not pollfble, that one of thefe cales may happen; If it does, has the conftitution expressly drawn, a line, beyond which refiftance becomes lawful? It has not. But it may be faid, a king cannot urm againft his fubjects---he cannot raife money, without confent of parliament. This is the conftitutional check upon him. If he fhould, it would be a violation of their exprefs rights. If their purfes are fhut, bis power Ihrinks. True. Unhappy colonifts! Our money may be taken from us--and ftanding armies eftablifhed over
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us, without our confent.-.every exprefsly declared conititutional check diffolved, and the modes of oppofition for relief fo contracted, as to leave us only the miferable alternative of fupplication or violence. And thefe, is feems, are the liberties of Americans. Becaule the conftitution has not "exprefsly declared" the line between the rights of the mother country and thofe of her colonitts, therefore, the latter have no rights. A logic, equally edifying to the heads and hearts of men of fenfe and humanity.

We affert, a line there muft be, and fhall now proceed with great deference to the judgment of others, to trace that line, according to the ideas we entertain : And it is with fatisfaction we can fay, that the records, ftatures, law-books, and moft approved writers of our mother country, thofe "dead but moft faithful counfellors" (as Sir Edward Coke calls them) " who cannot be daunted by fear, nor muzzled by affection, reward, or hope of preferment, and theretore may fafely be believed," confirm the principles we maintain.

Liberty, life, or property, can, with no confiftency of words or ideas, be termed a right of the poffeffors, while otbers have a rigbt of taking them away at pleafure. The moft diftinguilhedt

## C 4.7 [ 42 ]

authors, that have written on government, declare it to be ". infticuted for the benefit of the people; and that it never will have this tendency, where it is unlimited." Even conqueft " itfelf is held not to deftroy all the right of the

## conquered

*"But in order to fay fomething more particular concerning this fubjea, let us obferve that the natural flate of nations in refpect to each otios, is that of fociety and peace. This fociety is likewife a thate of equality and independance, which eftablifhes a parity of right between them; and engages them to have the fame regard and refpect for one another. Hence the gene al principle of the law of nations is nothing more than the general law of fociability, which obliges all nations that have any intercourfe with one another, to practife thofe duties to which individuals are raturally fubject.
" Thefe remarks may ferve to give us a jufl idea of that art, fo neceflary to the directors of flates, and diftinguifhed commonly by the name of polity. Poiity confidered with regard to foreign flates, is that ability and addrefs by which a fovereign provides for the prefervation, fafety, profperity and glory of the nation he governs, by refpecting the, laws of juftice and humanity; that is, without doing any injury to other ftates, but rather by procuring their advantage, as much as in reafon can be expected. Thus the polity of fovereigns is the fame as prudence among private people; and as we condemn in the latter any art or cunning, that makes them purfue their own advantage to the prejudice of others, fo the like art would be cenfurable in princes, were they bent upon procuring the advantage of their own people by injuring other nations. The reafon of fiate, fo often alledged to juftify the proceedings or enterprifes of princes, cannot really be admitted for this end, but inafmuch as it is reconcileable with the common intereft
conquered. Such is the merciful reverence judged by the beft and wifent men to be due $\mathrm{F}_{2}$
$t 9$
of nations, or which amounts to the fame thing, with the unalterable rules of fincerity, juftice, and humanity."
"Grotius indeed acknowledges that the law of nature is common to all nations; yet he ellablifhes a pofitive law of nations contraditinct from the law of nature; and reduces this law of nations to a fort of human law, which has acquired a power of obliging in confequence of the will and confent of all or of a great many nutions. $\ddagger$ He adds, that the maxims of this law of nations are proved by the perpetual practice of people, and the teftimony of hiltorians.
"Butit has been jufly obferved that this pretended law of nations, contradiltinct from the law of nature, and invefted neverthelefs with a force of obliging, whether people conrent to it or not, is a fuppofition dellitute of all tounda'n.*
"For 1. all nations are with regard to one another in a satural independance and equality. If there be therefore any common law between them, it muft proceed from God their common fourseign.
" 2. As for what relates to cuftoms eftablifhed by an exprefs or tacit confent abiong nations, thefe cuftoms are neither of themfelves, nor univerfally, nor always obligatory. For from this only that feveral nations have acted towards one another for a long time after a particular manner in particular cafes, it does not follow that they have laid them. felves under a neceifity of acting always in the fame manner for the time to come, and much lefs that other nations are obliged to confo $m$ to thefe cufloms.
"3. Again; thefe cufloms are fo much the lefs capable of being an obligatory rule of themfelves, as they may happen to
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to human nature, and frequently obferved even by conquerors themfelves.
to be bad or unjuft. The profeffion of a corfair or pyrate, was by a kind of confent, efteemed a long while as lawful, between nations that were not united by alliance or treat $y$. It feems likewife, that fome nations allowed themfelves the ufe of poifoned arms in time of war. $\|$ Shall we fay that there were cultoms authorifed by the law of nations, and really obligatory in refpect to different people? Or thall we not rathe: confider them as barbarous practices; practices from which every jult and well governed nation ought to refrain. We cannot therefore avoid appealing always to the law of nature, the only one that is really univerfal, whenever we want to judge whether the cuftoms eftablifined between nations have any obligatory effect.
" 4. All fhat can be faid on this fubject is, that when cuf. toms of an innocent nature are introduced among nations; each of them is reafonably fuppofed to fubmit to thofe cuf. toms, as long as they have not made any declaration to the contrary. This is all the force or efect that can be given to received cuftoms; bu: a very different effect from that of a law properly fo called."

Burlamae. Princ. of nat. law, 1 wol.p. 196-10y.

- But I will conclude with that which I find rejorted by fir Fok: Davis, who was the king's fergeart; and fo, by the duty of his place, would no doubt maintain, to the uttermoft of his power, the king's prerogative royal; and yet it was by him thus faid, in thofe reports of his upon the cate of tanifry cuffoins,' 'That the king's of England always - have had a monarchy royal, and not a mona:chy fignoral; - where, under the firft, faith he, the fubjects are free - men, and have property in their goods, and freehold and - - inheritance in their lands; but, under the latter, they are
\| Sce Virgil Æneid, book 1o. vi: 239. with the rsth note a! the Abbe des Fontaines.

IN Hne, a power of government, in its nature tending to the mifery $\mathrm{c} f$ the people, as a power that is unlimited, or in other words, a power in which the people bave no 乃bare, $\S$ is proved to be, by reafon and the experience of all ages and

- as villains and flaves, and have property in nothing. - And therefore, faith he, when a royal monarch makes a - new conquent, yet, if he receives any of the nation's anti-- ent inhabitants into his protection; they, and their heirs - after theni, flall enjoy their lands and liberties according ' to the laws' And there he voucheth this precedent and judgmrat following, given before Willian the congucror himfelf, viz.
- That one Sberborn, at the time of the conquief, being - owner of a caftle and lands in Nor foll, the conqueror gave - the ciame to one Warren, a Norman; and, Sberborn dying, - the heir claiming the fane by defcent according to the - law, it was, before the conqueror himfelf, adjudged 'fur ' thee heir, and that the gift thereof by the conqueror ivas - void.! Pll Parl. debates, 7 vol. pa. 384.

See alfo Puffendorf's lavy of nature and nation's, b. 3 . ch. 8. and b: 8. ch 6 .

It is held by the beft writers, that a conqueror in a juit war, acquires not a right to the propetry of thofe of the fubdued country, who oppofed him not, nor of the poferity of thofe who did: Nor can the pretence of obtaining fatisfaction for the charges and damiges of the war juffify fuch a claim.
§ "In a free Atate, every man, who is fuppofed a free agent, ought to be, in fome meafure his own governor, and therefore a branch, at lealt of the legiflative power ought to refids
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countries, cannot be a rightful or legal powes. For, as an excellent Bifhop of the Church of England
refide in the wobole body of the people. And this power, when the territories of the tate are fmall and its citizens eafily known, thould be expreffed by the people in their aggregate or collective capacity, as was wifely ordained in the petty republics of Greece, and the firft rudiments of the Roman flate. But this will be highly inconvenient when the public territory is extended to any confiderable degree, an ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ the number of citizens is increated. In fo large a ftate as ours, it is therefore very wiftly contrived that the penple thould do that by their reprefentatives, which it is imprac: ticable to perform in perfon." 1 Blackstone 158.159.

The above quoted words are fufficient of themfelves to refute the notion of " virtual reprefentation" of Americans in parliament.

As to the argument drawn from fimilitude between the cafe of thofe in England, not qualified to vote by their property, though poffefled of a confiderable fhare, as proprietors of the funds-The Eaft India company - merchantsmanufacturers \&c. and the cafe of colonifts, the true anfwer is, that there is no refemblance whatever between the cafes. A few propofitions will prove it: But it may be proper to premife- 1 it. If refrefentation was intended by the confitution of England, a complete reprefentation was intended; for the reafon of having any, requires having a complete one, as being the bef. 2dly.-If a complete reprefentation was in. tended by the conftitution, every defect in the reprefentation, is againf the intention of the confitution. 3 dly. If a refpect. able part of the people in England is not reprefented, it is a defect. $4^{\text {thly. If }}$ therefore, the intention of the conftitution is to be regarded as the a $\cdots$ itution, it involves a plain abjurdity, to infer a greater def, . being confitutional, from a fnal. ler defect which is urcomfitutional. 5thly. The intention of the conititution muf be regarded -and practices inconfiftent with its defign, mut be amended by it, if the happine/s which

England argues, $\ddagger$ " the ends of government cannot be anfwered by a total diffolution of all happinefs at prefent, and of all hopes for the future."

The
which it means to promote and fecure, is to be regarded. 6thly. If there is not fuch a reprefentation in England, as the conftitution requires, there ougbt to be. As to the refem: blance above fuppofed. ift. If many inhabitants of England uave not a right to vote in the choice of members of the houfe of commons, there are many who have. 2dly. Not one inhabitant of the colonies, bas that right. $3^{\text {dly. }}$ Some reprefentation is better than none, though a complete one cannot be obtained. The firft, is a defecz of mode, the latter an extinction of the fubfance. There is, to a nice obferver of vature, a perceptible difference between a deformed man and a dead man. 4 thly. Proprietors of the funds \&c. tho' they have no right to fuch vote, as proprietors \&c. may yet have it under another character, as freebolders \&c. $5^{\text {thly }}$. When acting as fretholders $\xi^{\circ}$ c. they may take care their interefts as proprietors Egc. for-6thly. Their being propizetors E゚c, does not difqualify them, from acquiring and enjoyivg a right to fuch vote by becoming freeholders $\xi^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$. bat - 7thly. By acquiring and enjoying a right to fuch vote, the colonifts mult ceafe to be inhabitants of the colonies - 8thly. Their being inbabitants of the colonies, therefore difqualifies them from acquiring and enjoying the :igit to fuch vote. $\rightarrow$ gthly. If thofe not entitled to fuch vote in England were not bound by ftatutes made there they wonid not be bound by ftatutes, nor taxed at all, though poffeft of great property but iothly. The coloniits are bound and faxed by the acts of their affemblies. 1 ithly. E"en thofe not entitled to fuch vote in England, and incapable of obtaining it, have this protection, that reprefentatives and tbeir eicizors ate bound by the laws made, as well as the reft of the people-and the
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The juft inference therefore from thele premifes would be an exclufion of any power of parliament orer thefe colonies, rather than the admiffion of an unbounded power.

$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{e}}$

the connedions between the rietrefentatizes, their eleciors, and the reff of the people, all living together in the fame kingdom, are fo many and fo intimate, that even the allually unrefrefented cannot be affected, unlefs the reprefentatives and their elefiors are affected alfo. 12 thly. Totally different is the condition of colonitts, if bound by flatutes generally. - By the acts of parliament for raifing a revenue in America, the commons ufe the words, " give and grant." Can men give and grant what they have not? Did any of thofe acts take a fingle penny out of the pocket of a fingle civer and granror ? No. So far from it, that if there is any truth in the proverb, and money faved is money got, thefe" dona ferentes" gentlemen put money into their pockets by their $\dagger$ " loyal and dutiful" generofity. Every individual of them acquired by beftowing, Pretenfions thus to give, are fuch contradictions to fact andfenfe, that in smaking them a fanction of injultice is foughe from a principle of the conftitution, and in defcribing them, a folecifm in fpeech becomes a proper expreffion. It mult be acknowledged however, that the commons are more than found divines, for they improve upon the text, $\ddagger$ and " count their lo/s for gain."

Statutes migh:t grind us, while not an elector in England would know or regard our fufferings--if acquainted with them, he might think the flatutes inflicting them, just and political. An open avowal has been made in par-hament-that it is $\delta$ " the indispensible duty of parliament,
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We well know, that the colonifts are char ${ }_{3}$ ed by many perfons in Great Britain, with attempting to obtain fuch an exclufion and a total independance on her. As well we know the accufation to be utterly falfe. We are become criminal in the fioht of fuch perfons, by refufing to be guilty of the higheft crime againft orifielves and our pofterity. Nolumus leges Anglif mutari. This is the rebellion with
liament to tax the colonies in order to ease the gentry and people of Great-Britain." Let not Americansever furget the loidly words! To undertand them fully, we mould confider-

Our difpute includes not only the prefent taxes laid upon us. The univerfal property of England was interefted in Mr. Hambden's fuit, about a few fhilings. If the crown had a right to thofe !hiilings, it had a right to every fhilling of every man in the kingdom. Great Britain is about ONE HUNDRED AND FORTY MILLIONS OF POUNDS STERLING in debt. If the can pay any part of that debt, by taxing as, nie may pay the whole by taxing us, if we can raife the money. If we cannot, yet as we are upbraided continually in pamphlets and papers with the richnefs of our houfes, our furniture, our equipage, our tables, and our drefs, fhe may be made to think we abound too much in theie conveniencies. If we are reduced to the condition of French peafants, it is no matter. We belong to the people of Great-Britain: And all Britijb fubjects, but Americans, ma do what they pleafe with their own. "It is her indifpenfible duty, fay their lordfnips, to eafe herfelf by taxing us;" and furely there is virtue enough left in a Briti/b parliament, notwithfanding all the dreadtul intelligence Britifh writers fend us over, to perform that "duty," exaclly. But this is not all. There are certain wicked
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which we are ftigmatized. [ We have commisted the like offence, that was abjected by the polite and humane Fimbria againlt a rude fenator of his time. We havs" difrefpellfully refufed to receive the whole weapor into our body." We could not do it, and live. But that mult

Frenchmen and Spaniards, that in every period of twenty or thirty years oblige Great-Britain to add thirty or forty millions to her debt. Upon an average, fince the revolution, fhe runs annually in debt about a million and an half. Can it be expected, her miniaters will be kinder to us, than they have been to her? Where will the cemand upon us, where will our wretchednefs !top, if we have not refolution enough to defend ourfelves?

A flatute intended to have force un the people of GroatBritain, is the cafe of a state afting upon irself. A fatute intended to have force on the people of America, is the cafe of one fate azing upon another. The people of Great. Britain, who in the firtt cafe are fubject to the ftatute -in the fecond, are the abfolute fovereigns wobo impofe it on others.
" Virtua! reprefentation" then, as applied to coloniftsis, to borrow expreffions of the excellent archbifhop Tillotfon, on another occafion, altering only two words -" An abfurdity of that monftrous and mafly weight, that no human authority or wit are able to fupport it. It will " make the very pillars of St." Stepbens " crack, and requires more volumes to make it good than would fill" Weflminfler Hall.

Yet this moit defpicable notion has been the pretence, fir our fellow fubjeras +clapping mufkets to our breafts, and taking our money out of our pockets.
+" Win their bearts, and you may foon have their bands and parfes,' was the advice of oid lord Burleigh to queen Elizabeth. She was wife enough to take it. The world knows the confe" gux ${ }^{2}$
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mult be ackrowledged to be a poor excufe, equally inconfillent with good breeding and the fupreme legiflature of Great-Britain. J

For thefe ten years paft we have been inceffantly \| attacked. Hard is our fate, when, to efcape che charater of rebels, we mult be degraded into that of haves : as if here was no medium, between the two extremes of anarchy and defpotifn, where innocence and freedom could find repofe and fafety.

Why fhould we be exhitited to mankind, as a people adjudged by parliament unworthy of freedom? The thought alone is infupportable. Even thofe unhappy perfons, who have had the misfortune of being born under the yoke of bondage, impofed by the cruel laws, if they may be called laws, of the land, where they received their birth, no fooner breathe the air of England, though they touch her fhore only by accident, § than they initantly become freemen. G 2

Strange
$\|{ }_{4}$ Geo. 3, ch. 15.4 Geo. 3, ch. 34.5 Geo. 3, ch. 12. 5 Geo. 3, ch. 45.6 Geo. 3, ch. 12. 6 Geo 3, ch. 52.7 Geo. 3, ch. 41. 7 Geo. 3, ch. ${ }^{40} 59.8$ Geo. 3, ch. 22. The refolves that colonifts may be tried in Exglard under the 35 Hen. 8. -The blockade of Bofon-the Rhode-Ifand court, \&c. \&c.
§ Somerfet's cafe.

## [ 52 ]

Strange contradiction.* The fame kingdom at the fame time, the afylum and the bane of liberty.

To return to the charge againt us, we can fately appeal to that Being, from whom no thought can be concealed, that our warmeft wifh and utmolt ambition is, that we and our pofterity may ever remain fubordinate to, and dependant upon our parent flate. This fubmiffion our realon approves, our affection dictates, our duty commands, and our intereft enforces.

- To this contradiation, the following may be added Her policy at once to keep peace with her natural enemies, and to provoke her natural friends, whofe affiltance one day -and that day leems to be approaching - in the vicifitudes of human affairs, great as the is, the may want ;-her intereft, as he thinks, to protect and to opprefs PROTESTANT countries - to abhom a large fanding army, and yet voluntarily to put herfeif under the abfolute neceffity, of perpetuating an immenjely large one, to govern the many milions of flaves the expects foon to have on this vaft continent. Two of the fhrewdeft, though not belt emperors, that ever lived, Augufus and Tiberius, prohibited every man of diftinction. from fetting his foot in Eg. ${ }^{\prime p p t}$, , becaufe of the importance of that province to Rome. But Great Britain, as if the fe numerous provinces, much more remote irom her, than Eg.ypt from Rome, were of little confequence, willingly obliges herfelf to truft a mighty armed power into the hands of a fubject, in thefe colonies, the tempting intereft of which futject and of the people, may engage them to unite in eftablihingan independant empire, on her own model. Great-Britain ought not to forget, that Rome was ruined by keeping flanding armies in her propinces.
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If this fubmiffion indeed implics a diffolution of our conftitution, and a renunciation of our liberty, we fhould be unworthy of our relation to her, if we fhould not frankly declare, that we regard it with * horror; and every true true Englifman will applaud this juil dillunction and

* The Privernates had revolted from the Romans, but were reduced. 'The queltion was, what judgment thould be given againt them. This is Livy's account of the affair, in the 2 : 1 thapter of his 8 th book.
" Quum ipfa per fe res anceps efiet, prout cujufque ingenium erat, atrociûs mitiûtic fuadentitus; tun incertiora omia unus ex Privernatitus legatis fecir, ma is conditionis, in qua natus effet, quàm prafenti neceflitatis, memor: qui. interroçatus à quodam trillioris fenmentix auctore, quam tonam mertos Privernates centeret? eim, inquit, quam mereutur, qui je iibertate dignos ceniêut: cujus quum feroci refponfo infettiores factos vaderet confui cos, qui antè Privernatium caufam impugliabant; ut iple berignâ interrogatione mitius refponfum eliccset, $9 \begin{aligned} & \therefore 1 \\ & \therefore 2 \\ & \text { panam, in- }\end{aligned}$ quit, renittimus vobis, quaiem nos pactm robbicum babituros Jperemus? Si bonam decieritis, inquit, Eoi fidum, Eo perpetuam: fo malam, baud diuturnam. 'Tun veró minai, nec id ambiguè, Privernatem quidam, : illis vocibas ad rebellandum incitari pacatos popules, pars melior fenatûs ad meliora refponfum trahere, \& dicese, Viri, Eo liber, i, wciem auditam, an credi pofi, ullum populum, aut bomenem acnique, in ea conditione, cujus eum tueniteat, diutiùs, quàm nerefl: fit, manfurzm? Ibi pacem efefidam, uli voluntarii pacati jant: neque to lcco, ubi fervitutem afe cuelint. fidem fierandan' effe. In hanc fententiam maximè conful ipfe inchmavit animos, identidem ad principes fententiarum coufulares, uti exaudiri poffet à pluribus, dicendn, Eu. 'enzum, qui aibib, praterquam de litertate, cogitent, dignos af', qui Romani fint. Itaque \& in feratu caufam obtinuere, \& ex auctoritate Patrum latum ad popalument, ut Privernatibus civitas daritur,"
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and candid declaration. [ Our defence neceffarily touches chords in unifon with the fibres of his honeft heart. They muft vibrate in fympathetic tones. If we, hịs kindred, fhould be bafe enough to promife the humiliating fubjection, he could not believe us. We fhould fuffer all the infamy of the engagement, without finding the benefit expected from being thought as contemptible as we fhould undertake to be.]

But this fubmiffion implies not fuch infup. portable evils: and our amazement is inexpreflible, when we confider the gradual increafe of thefe colonies, from their ीlender beginnings in the laft century to their late flouriining condition, and how prodigioully, fince their fettlement, our parent ftate has advanced in wealch, force and influence, till the is become the firtt power on the fea, and the envy of the world... that thefe our better days fhould not ftrike conviction into every mind, that the freedom and happinefs of the colonifts are not inconfiftent with her authority and profperity.

The experience of more than one hundred years will furely be deemed, by wife men, to have fome weight in the fcale of evidence to fupport our opinion. We might juftly afk of her, why we are not permitted to go on, as we have been ufed to do fince our exiftence,
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conferring mutual benefits, thereby ftrengthening each other, more and more difcovering the reciprocal advantages of our connection, and daily cultivating affections, encouraged by thofe advantages?
[ What unknown offences have we committed againft her within thefe ten years, to provoke fuch an unexampled change in her conduct towards us? In the laft war, fhe acknowledged us repeatedly, to be faithful, dutiful, zealous and uleful in her caufe. Is it criminal in us, that our numbers, by the favour of Divine Providence have greatly encreafed ? That the poor chufe to fly from their native countries in Europe to this continent? Or, that we have fo much improved thefe woods, that if we can be forced into an unfuccefsful refiftance, avarice itfelf might be fatiated wich our forfeitures? ]

Ir cannot with truth be urged, that proje?ts of innovation have commenced with us. Facts and their dates prove the contrary. $\dagger$ Not a difturbance has happened on any part of this continent,
$\dagger$ " The winds lift up the waves", -faid a wife man yet we read of a weak man, who fcourged waves-but he had not aifed them. To excite commotions, and then to fcourge for baing excited, is an addition to the wildnefs of a Xerxes, referved more particularly to ditinguif the prefent age, already fufficiently illuftrious by the injuries offered to the rights of human nature.
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continent, but in confequence of fone imme. diately preceding provocation.

To what purpofe? The charge of our affecting one great, or many fimall republics, mult appear as contemptible a madnefs to her, as it does to us. Divided as we are into many provinces, $\dagger$ and incapable of union, except againft
$\dagger$ The genius of a Beccaria, fuggened to him the condition of a large empire verging into fervitude-the only plan for faving it, - and the difficulty of executing that plan. "An overgrown republic (fays he, and fuch a limited monarchy as that of Great-Britain with fuch an extent of dominions, may well be called, " all overgrown republic,") can only he faved from defpotifm, by fubdividing it into a number of confederate republics. But how is this practicablei By a defpotic diftator, who with the courage of Sylla, has as much genius for building up, as that Roman had for pulling down, if he be an ambitious man, his reward, will be immortal glory; if a pi.i!? fopher, the bleffings of his fellow citizens will fufficiently confole nim for the lofs of authority, though he fhould not be infenfible to their ingratitude."

What was argument in. Italy, is reality to Great-Britain, with this additional circumfance in her favor, that the muft always continue if fie wifely conducts her affairs, though leis than all, yet greater than $\kappa n y$. The immenle advantages of fuch a fituation, are worthy the clofelt attention of every Briton. To a man, who has confidered them with that attention, perhaps it will not appear too bold to aver, that, if an archangel had planned the connexion between Great-Britain and hei colonies, he could not have fixed it on a more latting and beneficial foundation, unlefs he could have
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againft a common danger, fhe knew, that we could not think of embarking our treafures
have changed human nature. $\therefore$ mighty naval power at the head of the whole-that power, a parent liate; with all the endearing fentiments attending the relationfhip - that never could difoblige, but with defign-the dependant ftates much more apt to have teuds among themfel ves-fhe the umpire and controuler thofe flates producing every article neceflary to her greatnefs - their intereft, that the fhouki continue free and flourihing-their ability to throw a confiderable weight into the fcale, fhould her government ge: undut,y poised- fhe and all thofe fates pro-testant-are fome of the circumflances, that delineated by the mafterly hand of a Beccaria, would exhibit a plan, vindicating the ways of heaven, and demoltrating, that humanitv and policy are nearly related. An Alexander, a Caffat a Clarles, a Lewis, and others have fought through fields of blood, for univerfal empire. Great-Britain has a certainty, by population and commerce alone, of attaining to the moft aftonithing and well founded power the world ever faw. The circumitances of her fituation are new and flriking. Heaven has offered to her, glory and profperity without meafure. Her wife minifters difdain to accept them-and prefer -" a pepper corn."*

So directly oppofite to the interef of Great Britain, has the conduct of adminifiration been for fome time paft, that it may fafely be affirmed, that, in their view was, to eftablifi arbitrary power over Great-Dritain, fchemes more dangerous could not have been laid. To profefs this purpofe, would enfure a defeat. Any man, who had fuch a defign, would firft take the opportunity of peace, TO SET ONE part of the subject against the other.- This might be done in the following manner.

[^9]
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of tranquility and liberty, on an ocean of blood, in a wandering expedition to fome Utopian port.

Let every feffion of parliament produce a frefl injury. Give no reft, or hope of reft. Let infult added to infult, fill up the vacancies between the feffions. Teafe and perfecute into oppofition. Then let minifters themfelves rejoice in the freedom of the prefs. Let every action of the oppreffed be exaggerated. Let innumerable falfe invectives be vented in pamphlets and news-papers. Let all the provocations and excufes be concealed from publis fight as much as poffible. Load the devoted with the terns of traytors and rebels. Ncarly in this way Scotland was treated by the arbitrary miniftry of Cbarles the firt. But the parliament and people of England had common fenfe and virtuc. The bafe deception could not pafs upon tiem. They faw the fivare laid for them; and refented it fo deepiy, that an arr.; of Englifomen fied before an army of Scotclmen at Newburn. For once it was glorious to fly. But it required Engliß heads $\&: E_{n g} l i / 3$ hearts to underfand and to act the part.

Thus the colonies have been treated. At laft a civil war may be worked up. It houid be conlidered, is Lord Mansfiell expreffes it - whether " tie play is worth the candle." In fuch a war, every victory will be a defeat. If the colonies are fubdued, valf fums muft be raifed, and a prodigious army muf: be fupported, to keep them in fubjection. Great-Britain mulf feel the weight of that influence, added to the power of the crown. The colonies are encreafing. Who can compute'the cxtent and effect of fuch an influence $\mathbb{T}$ ? Undone by her victories,

[^10]
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## port. The hiftory of mankind, from the remoteft antiquity, furnihes not a fingle inftance $\mathrm{H}_{2}$

## tories, the muf? refign her miberty or fome future monarch

 with her colonies, unlefs fhe firt lofes them in another way. If the is unfortunate, public calamities may make great changes, Such changes feem to be intended by fome men. Great-Britain has been ledinto the Ralicon. She has not yet paft it. We confider the hoftilities already practifed,with regard to power, we may find perhaps that the hands of government are at leaf fufficiently Ircogthened; and that an Englifs. monarel is now in no danger of being overborne by either the nobility or the people. The inftuments of power are not perhaps fo open and avowed as they formerly were, and therefore are the lefs liable to jealous and invidions reflections; but they are not the weaker upon that account. In flort, our national debe and taxes (hefides the inconveniencies before-mentioned) have alfo in their natural confuquences throwa fuch a weight of power into the executive fale of govermment, as we cannot think was intended by our patriot anceftors; whogloriouly fruggled for the abolition of the then formidable puts of the pierogative, and by an unaccountable want of forefight eftabliflied this fyftem in their ftead. The entire colleftion and mangenent of fo vaft a revenue, being placed in the bands of the crown, have given rife to fuch a muititude of new officers, created by and removeable at the royal pleafure, that they have extcuded the influence of government to every corner of the nation. Witncfs the commifioners, and the multitude of depen. dents on the caftoms, in every port of the kingdom; the commifionscrs of excife, and their numerous fubalterns, in every inland diftrict: the pogtinafers, and their fervants, plated in every town, and up. on every public road; the commi/finers of the flamps, and their diftributors, which are full as featrered and tull as nunterous; the officers of the falt duty, which, though a fpecies of excife and conducted in the fame manner, are yet made a diftinct corps from the ordinary managers of that revenue; the furveyors of houfes and windows; the receivers of the land tax; the managers of litteries; and the commiffoners of hackney conches; all which are cither mediately or inmediateIy appointed by the crown, and removeable at pleafure withous any reaton affigued: thefe, it requires but little penctration to foe, muft give that power, on which they depend for fubfiftence, an influence moft amazingly extenfive. To this may be added the frequent opportunities of conferring particular obligations, by preterence in loans, fulfcriptions, tickets, remittances and other money tranfaftions, which will greatly encreafe this influence; and that ovet thofe perfons whore attachment, on accovat of their wealth, is fres
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## of a people confifting of hufbandmen and mer-

 chants,tifed, as the manœuvres of a minifterial war. We know the machinations formed againft us, and the favourite publications indutrioufly fpread abruad, to excite a jealoufy of us among our Britifl brethren. We know how acceptable to many an cartinguale would be to "tink fome of the colonies in the ocear" -and how pleafing, to employ the reft " in raifing Raple commodities:" That we are thought " too numerous," and how much it would be judged by fome for the intereft of Great Britain, if a pettilence fhould fweep off a million and a half of us. Thefe wonderful lucubrations laze not efcaped us. But here we are, by Divine Providence, threc millions of fouls. What can be done
quently the moft defrable. All this is the natural, though perhaps the unfortien, conicquence of erecting our tunds of ciedit, and to fupport themenablithing our prefent perpetual taxes: the whole of which is intirely new fince the refturation in 1660; and by far the greateft pait fince the revolution in 1688 . And the fame may he faid with re! gand to the officers in our numerous army, and the places which the army has created. All which put together gives the executive power fo perfuafive an energy with refpect to the perfons themfelves, and fo prevai.. $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ an intereft with their friends and families, as will amply make amends for the lofs of external prorogative.
"But, though this protufion of offices fhould have no effect on individuals, there is fill another newly acquired branch of power; and that is, not the infuence only, but the force of a difciplined army: paid indeed ultimately by the perple, but ammediately by the crown; ralfed by the crown, officered by the crown, commanded by the crown. They are kept on foot it is true only from year to year, and that by the power of parliament; but during that year they mult, by the nature of our conftitution, if raifed at all, be at the abfolute difpotal of the crown. And thene need but few words to demonftrate how great a truft is thereby repofed in tire prince by his people. A truft, that is more than equivalent is a thoufand little troublefome prerogatives.
"Add to all this, that, befides the cinil $\bar{t} f$, the immenfe reventue of almoft feven millions fterling, which is annually paid to the creditors of the public, or carried to the finking fund, is firft depofited in the royal exchequer, and thence iffued out to the refpective offices of payment. This revenue the people can never refufe to raife, becaufe it is made perpetual by act of parliament; which alfo, when well confidered, will appear to be a truf of great delicacy and high importance."
with us? If we were to be confidered, only as firotbstant allies, we ought to be efleemed by a wife peopie. Such a people certainly would not be careful to difunite us from their intercf-to make us foes when they mizht heve us friends. Some ftates have chought it truc policy to grant greater indulgences to remste dominions, than were enjoyed by theméives: An 1 this policy has been much applauded. The enjoyment of valuable privileges $b v$ inferior ftates, un der the protection of a fuperior, is the ftrongeft bond of dependance. Why fhould we prefer a dependance on Great. Britain to a dependance on Frame, if we enjoy lefofrecdom under the former, than we mav under the latter? "Firmil/inumimperium, quo obedientes gavdent"-or as lord chief juttice Coke expreflies it, in his comment on the 25 th of Edward the third, " the thate of a king thandeth more aflurel by the love and favour of the fubjeat, than by the dread and fear of laws, \&c." $\dagger$ Ought Great-Britain to defpife the advantages
|| Great-Britain put hacrelf to a very confider able expence laft war in deience of Portugal, becaufe that kingdom was her ally, and the derived great advantages from an intercourfe with her. But what are thofe advalutages or the affections arifind foom them, when compared to the advantages and affexims that conned thetie Colonies with Great-Britain? Words calanet expret's the furprize, that men free from paftion muft reel, on contidn ring her impolicy, in labouring to disjoin from herfelf the only tue friend, nie has in the world. If her minifters were penfioners of Framce and Spain, they could not parfue meafures more pleating and advantageous to to thofe kingdoms.
$\dagger$ " During all our happy days of concord, partly from our national moderation, and patty from the widam, and fometimes perhaps from the carelefstefs of our miniftis, hey have been trufted in a good meafure with the entire manag ment of their af$f_{a i r s}$; and the fuccefis they have mer with wigh th be to us an ever
 not governing toomuch And why inould friendhip ani gratitude, and long attachnents, whichinfpice al the relifh and fweetnefs of private life, le fuppofal to be of ano weight in the intercourfe between great communities? Thefe are principtes of human nature, which act with much greater ceitainty on numbers than on individuals. If properly cuitivated they may to us be productive of the nobleft benefits; and, at all cvents, will neither leffen the extent of our power, nor fl:orten the duration ot is."

Binhop of Sr. Asapa's Semmon, p. is.
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of ambition. No. Our higheft pride and glory has been, with humble unfufpeeting duty * to labour in contributing to elevate her to that exalted ftation, fhe holds among the nations of the earth, and which, we ftill ardently defire and pray, fhe may hold, with frefh acceffions of fame and profperity, till time fhall be no more.

These being our fentiments, and, we are fully convinced, the fentiments of our brethren *hroughout the colonies, with unfpeakable affiction,
vantages fhe acivally recives ruith fafety from us, becaufe by the adoption of Spanifb maxims, the might with danger extort more?

It is the duty of every colonif to oppofe fuch maxims. They threaten ruin to our mother country and to us. We thould be guilty of treafon againtt our fovereign and the majefty of the people of England, if we did not oppofe them. England mult be faved in America. Hereafter, fhe will rejoice that we have refifted-and thank us for having offended her. Her wifdom will in a fhort time difcover, the artifices that have been ufed by her worlt enemies to enflame her againt her dutitul children; that the has fupported not her own caufe but the caufe of an ad. miniftration ; and will clearly dillinguih, which will moft conduce to her benefit, fafety, and giory, well treated and affectionate colonies, or millions of flaves, an unnatural encreafe of ber fianding forces, and an addition to the infiuence of the crown, defying all calculation.

* It has been fuggefted, " that fubjects fometimes crr, by not believing.that princes mean as well as they do"-But, the inflances are numerous where princes and their courtiers eti, by not believing, that fubjects mean as well as they do.
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fliction, we find ourfelves obliged to oppofe that fyltem of dominion over us, arifing from counfels pernicious both to our parent and her children-to ftrive, if it be poffible, to clofe the breaches made in our former concord-and ftop the fources of future animofities.-And may God Almighty, who delights in the titles of juft and merciful, incline the hearts of all parties to that equitable and benevolent temper, which is neceffary, folidly to eftablifh peace and harmony, in the place of confufion and diffenfion.

The legifative authority claimed by parlia. ment over thefe colonies confifts of two heads--firft, a general power of internal legiflation; and fecondly, a power of regulating our trade: both, fhe contends are unlimited. Under the firft, may be included among other powers, thofe of forbiding us to $\dagger$ worfhip our creator in the manner we think moft acceptable to him ...-impofing taxes on us----collecting them by their own offi-cers-----enforcing the collection by admiralty courts or courts martial---abolifhing tryals by jury --eftablifhing a ftanding army $\ddagger$ among us in time

[^11]
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## time of peace, without confent of our affem-blies-.-

- I muft own fir, I can fee but one reafon for raifing - at this preient junture, this additional number of troops,
- and that is to flrengthen the hands of the miniter againf
- the next elections by giving him the power of difoling of
- commifion to the fons, brothers, nephews, coufins, and
- friends of fuch as have intere!t in boroughs into fume
- of which perhaps, troops may be fent to procure the
- free election of their members, in imitation of the late
- Czarina fending her troops into Poland to fecure the free
- election of a king
- But fill there is one thing more fatal than all I have
- yet named that mufte the confegnence of fo great a body
- of troops being kept on foot in England, and rwall be the
- finijpiing flroke to all our liberties. For as the towns in Eng-
- land will not be able much longer to contain qua::ers tor
- them, moft of thofe who keep public houfes being near
- ruined by foldier's billeted on them; foon pittence of the
- necefly of it, barracks will be builc for quartering them,
- which will be as fomany fortrefles with strong gar-
- risonsin them, erec̣ted in all parts of England, which
- can tend to nothing, but by degrecs to fubdue and
- enflave the kingdom.
- But if ever this fcheme flould be attempted, it will be
- incumbent on every Englithman to endeavour to prevent it
- by all methods, and as it would be the laft fand that
- could be ever made for our liberries, rather than fuffer it
' to be put in execution, it would be our duty to
- draw our sivords, and never put them up, till
* our liberties were ficured, and the autbors of our intend-- ed farvery lrought to condign punifomert.-I hope I
- fhall be forgiven if during the debates I fhall tale the li-
- berty of fpeaking again; for I am determined to figbt inch
- $b_{y}$ inab, every propofition that tends, as I think this does
' to the enflaving my country."
Lord Vifcount Gage's Speech in 1739. Parl. Deb. book: 1 th, p. 383 . See Monti/g. on ीanding armies,
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blies-..-paying them with our money----feizing I our

A minifter declared in the houfe of commons, that he fhould " always confider it as a part of the conflitution that the military frould act under the civil authority." But, by order, the commande: in chief of the forces has precedence of a governor, in the province under his government. By his majefty's order, tranfmitted in a letter dated the gth of February 1765 , from the fecretary of fate to the commander in chicf, it is declared, "that the orders of the commander in chief, and under him, of the brigadiersgeneral, commanding in the northern and fouthern departments, in all military affairs, foall be supreme, and muft be obeyed by the troops, as fuch, in all the civil governments in America. That in cales, where no specifick orders have been given by the commander in chief, or by the brigadier-general commanding in the difrict, the civil governor in council, and where no council there fubfitts, the civil governor, may, for the benefit of his government, give orders for the marching of troops, the difpofition of them, for making and marching detachments, efcorts, and fuch purely military fervices within his government, to the commanding officer of the troops, who is to give proper order for carrying the fame into execution: Provided they are not conthadiffory to, or incompatible with, any order he may have received from the commander in chief, or the brigadiergeneral of the district."

In May $\mathbf{1} 769$ the houfe of reprefentatives for MafachufetsBay, requefted governor Bernard "to give the neceflary and effectual orders for the removal of the forces by fea and land out of the port of Bofton, and from the gate of the city, during the session of the said asse biy;" to which he anfwered-" Gentlemen, I have no authority over his majefty's 乃ips in this port or his troops within this town, nor can I give any orders for their removal.
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our young men § for recruits .-changing conftitutions of government $\dagger \cdots$--topping the prefs $-\cdots$ declaring

Thus, our governors, the captains-general and commanders in chief, reprefenting the fovereign, and known to the contlitution of thefe colonies, are deprived of their legal authority, in time or peace, by an order-and a perpetmal dizzatorial power eftablifhed over us. 'To accomplifh this great purpofe, it was thought proper during the laft war, to change the mode of granting military commiffions, and to pafs that to the general in America under the great feal. It is not known, whether this uncommon formality has been obferved with regard to the major-generals of the refpective "districts."
§ The Germans have been jufly celebrated in different ages, for fagacity in promoting the arts, and for martial spirit; yet how unhappy have they been made in a fhort period of time, by that fingle engine of arbitrary power, a fanding army. Their diftrefs was wrought up to fuch a degree, that thoufands, and tens of thoufands, relinquifhed their native country, and fed to the wilderneffes of Simerica. It was a way of thinking and acting that became them. For Germans may truly be called the Fatbers of Englifomen. From $\ddagger$ Germany came their ancefors and the firt principles of the conllitution. Germans therefore feem to be more juftly entitled than other foreigners to the bleffings of that confitution. To enjoy them, in this free country as it then was, they came here, but now unfortunately find, arbitrary Government and aftanding army purfuing thern even into thefe woods. Numbers of them now in thefe provinces, have ferved in the armies of the feveral princes in Germany and know well, that one reafon with their rulers, for putting fwords into their hands was to cut the throats of their own fathers, brothers and relations who fhould attempt to relieve themfelves from any part of their miferies.
$\dagger$ Bill for changing the conflituting of Mafachufets-Bay. $\ddagger$ \& Blackft. p. s47.

## $[.67]$

declaring any action, even a meeting of teh fmalleft number, to confider of peaceable modes to obtain redrefs of grievances* high treafon--taking colonifts to Great Britain to be tried \|--exempring " murderers" + of colonifts from punifhment, by carrying them to England, to anfwer indictments found in the colonies... § fhutting up our ports --prohibiting us from nitting $\ddagger$ iron to build our houfes,--making i $^{*}$ hats to cover our heads, or clothing to cover the reft of our bodies, $\& x .+\dagger$

IN
miferies. Their former fovereigns are now compleating, it is faid, the cruel tragedy of tyranny. They will not fuffer thole they have made wretched, to feek for a more tolerable exiftence in fome other part of the globe. It is their Duty, fay thefe unfeeling princes, "to be unhappy, and to renounce all hopes of relief." They are prohibited from leaving their country. - Thofe who have already efcaped into thefe colonies, remember what they and their parents fuffered in Germany. The old tell the ftories of their oppreffions to the younger; and however improbable it may appear on the other lide of the Atiantic, it is afferted b; perions well acquainted with this people, that they have very littie inclination to suffer the same cruelties again in Anerica.

* General Gage's proclamation, dated June 23, 1774. \| Refolves in the houfe of lords on 35 th Hen. 8. ch. 2 d . $\dagger$ Bill for the adminiftration of juftice, \&c. § Bofon act. $\ddagger 23$ d Geo. 2 ch. 29. IT 5th Geo. 2 ch. 22 tt If Sireat Britain has a conflitutional power to prohibit us from flitting iron as fae has done, the has a conftitutional power, that is, a right, to prohibit us from raifing grain for our tood; for the principle that fupports one law, will

In our provincial legiflatures, the beff judges in all cafts what fuits us-.. founded on the immutable and unalienable rights of human nature, the principles of the contlitution, and charters and grants made by the crown at periods, when the
power
fupport the other. What a valt demand muft be made on her for this arcicle, and how firmly would her dominion be enablithed, if we defcuded wholly on her for our daily bread? Her modern writers confider colonilts as haves of Great britain hut up ina a age workhoufe, contantly kept at labour, in procuring fuch materials as the preferibes, and weating fuch cloathes as the fends.-Shouid the ever adopt the meafure abovenentioned, and on our complaints of grievances, withhold food from us-what then" why then, on t.er ptinciple--it would be right-- o me stabved. 'To fay in fuch cafe we thould have any other right, would be a " traiterous and rebellious denial of the fupreme legillature of Great Britain," for the " has power of right to bind us by flatutes in all cases whatso. ever."

Let not any perfon object that the fuppofition of fuch a cate is the fuggefion of fancy. The Carthaginians, thofe maflers in the fublime politics of commerce-politics that have produced to many dieadful ficenes upon earth, forbad the Sardinians to raife corn, in order to keep them in due fubjection. The Eafl Indies, St. Vincents, the proceedings at Rhode Ifand, and the Bofon act, \&ic. give rife to many alarming dppichenfions in America. There are few men on this continent would be as much furprized at that meafure, as at fome late meafure:. The beginning jultifies any apprehenfions. Power debauches the affections. The improbability of cales happening, is no anfwer in fuch important confiderations. The laudable fpinit of commerce may be inflamed into rapacity and cruclty in a nation as well as in an individual. We mult regard the power claimed by ledged by the parent thate, a power fince frequently recognized by her, $\cdots$ fubject to the controul of the crown as by law eftablifhed, is vetted the excluyive right of internal legi ation. Suca

Graat Britain, not folely herwill or contingencies depending on that will. If fhe affixes no limits to her power why hould we affix any to its effers? "I know (fays Mr. Hoadly, it is next to impoffible, that any fuch cafo fhould happen: But if fuch things be faid, and fuch cafes, in effect, be put, it is neceflary to fpeak, ujon the fuplofition of juch, cafes.- And me thinxs it is but a narrow fpirited proceeding in us to $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}$ juit no farther in our notions, tha: 2 a compliance with our ouvn prefent condition iorceth us; to exclude from our regard the condition of all other $n$ tions, and all cafis, but jult that, which hath happened latt ot all ia our own."

That the plan of governing us by withholding neceffuries of life has been confidered, and in what light colonies are viewed at home, the following extracts will partly fhew."
" It appears that the original and grand evil attending them was, the fettiement of jo confiderable a part in a climate incapable of yielding the commodities wanting in Britain.
" Thefe northern colonies, long after their difuduantageous nature was known, were continually increafed by frefin migrations from Eurote; which, as I before obferved. ought totally to lave been prevented, and fuch migraticns have been encouraged only to the beneficial colonies.
"Since the late war, Britain laid the trade of the colonies under forne very frict regulations, which certainly cut off many inlets by which they formerly received much Spa. ni/b and Portuguefe coin. The principle upon which fuch regulations were formed, of fecuring to the mother country alone all matters of commerce, I have already attempt. ed to prove jult and necefary.

Suces a right vefted in parliament, would place us exactly in the fame fituatian, the peo-
" When once their fupernumeraries are bcome manufacturers, it will require more than Britifl policy to convert them into planters.
" I mult think this point of fuch great importance, as to extend probably to the annibilation of manufacaures in our colonies - To conclude, it is in the propofed fettlement on the Obio we mult firf look for hemp and flax ; as fuch great numbers of the old American farmers have removed and fettled there, which may, in thofe fettile tracts, be cultivated in fuch abundance, as to enable us to underfell all the world, as well as fupply our own conlumption. It is on thofe high, dry, and healthy lands, that vineyards will be cultivated to the belt advantage, as many of thore hills contain quarries of fone, $t$ and not in the unhealthy fea-cofts of our prefent colonies. To thefe we fhould bring the fettlers from Eurofe, or at leall fuffer none to go north of Nizw-York; by which means our numbers would increafe in thofe parts, where it is our intereft they fhould increafe ; and the report of the fettlers from the new colony on the Obio would be a conflant drain of people from our unprofitable northern ones, by which means they would, in future times, as well as the prefent, be prevented from extending their manufactures.
" What I hall therefore venture to propofe is, that the government, through the means of a ferw mercbants acquainted with the American trade, that can be tolerably depended upon, Chould eftablin factors at Bofon, l'biladelphia, New York, and a few other ports, for the fale of fuch cargoes of Britifs manufactures as fhould be configned tothem; and to confif of fuch particularly as were mott manufactured in the province, with directions immediately and continually to underfell all fuch colony manufactures. By this means the operation of the fucceeding meafures, from the num-
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ple of Great-Britain would have been reduced to, had Fames the firt and his family fucceeded
ber of hands rendered idle, would be fo much the cafier to be executed.
" The hips which carried out fuch cargocs mould be large bulky ones, of eight, nine hundred, and one thoufand tons burden, for the fake of bringing large quantities of deals, \&c. back, at a lefs propotionate expence; and, previous to theirarrival in America, cargoes of theft fhould be ready for them. 'The colonitls mould be engaged to work their irou mines, and get the product ready in bars, \&c. and valt quantities of deais and fquared timber ready for loading the hips : All which, on the certain and immediate profpect of a fale would eafily be effected; as it is well known they have more than once proved to the legilla. ture, that they could fupply all Europe with thefe arcicles, had they but the demand.
"But I laid it down as a rule to procced upon, that trade, ffoing, and manufucturing, were put an entire ftop to among the colonies.
" If the fugarillands contained ten millions of people, as destitute of necessaries as they are at prefent, Britain would be as sure of their allegiance as the is at prefent-provided no power more formidable than herfelf at fea arofe for their protection.
" The firt dependance of our colonies, as well as all their people, is, to change the terms a little, upon corn worked into bread and iron wrought into implements; or, in other words, it is upon neceffary agriculture and neceffary manufactures; for a people who do not poffefs thefe, to think of throwing off the yoke of another who fupplics them with them, is an abfurd idea. This is precifely the cafe with our fugar inands. Let us fuppofe the continental colonies to be as happy in the neceffary agriculture as they really are; but to be abfolutely without manufactures, could they throw off their allegiance to Britain be their numbers
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in their fcheme of arbitrary power. Changing the word Stuarts for parliament, and Brions for Americans,
what they would ? No, certainly ; for that is nothing more than fuppofeng they, nould throw off their allegiance to hoes and fpades, and coces and foes, which is abfuici to inagine : can any one imagine that a rebellion can be carried on among a people, when the greatell fuccefs muft be attended with the lofs of baif the neceffaries of life!
" The following, among other effers relative to this point, would be the confequence of the plan fketched out in the preseding fection.
"The people would depend on Sritain for thofe necef. furies of life which refu't from manufactures.
" The cultivation of Aaples would be more profitable to them than any other employment whatever.
" The fals of thofe flaples would depend on Britain.
" The people would all be firead over an immenfe country as planters; - none of them collected in towns."
" ' T o which ciccumftances I fhall add, in refped to Britain's fuicher policy,
" That the fhould abide by the boundaries fixed already to the olrl colonies, that of the rivers heads; and all futther fetrling to be in new colonies, wherever they were traced.
" That the fhould keep the inland navigation of the continent, that is, of all the great lakes and navigable river, to leufelf, and not fuffer any fets of men to navigate inen',
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Americans, the arguments of the illuftrious paK triots
them, and thereby communicate fiom one part of the continent to another.
"That the fhould never fuffer any provincial troops or militia to be raifed, but referve entirely to herfelf the defence of the fronticrs. *
" That the mou'd throw whatever obftacles the could, upon all pians of communication from colony to coloty, or conveniences of fpeedy removals from place to place.
" That in proportion as any colony declined in flaples and threatened not to be able to produce a fufficiency of them, the inhabitants fhould receive fuch encouragement to leave it, as more than to drain its natural increafe, un. lefs new flaples were difcovered for it.
"This is now the cafe with thofe ! have diffinguifhed by the title of the northern colonies; infonsch that Nowan Scotia, Canada, New-England, Ne:w York', New- Yerfey, and Pennflluania, would be nearly of as much benefit to this country buriedin the ocran as they are at prefent."

## Poititical EJays.

The conduct of adminitration correfponds exactly with the fentiments of this modern writer, and with the mealurt? purfued by Pbilip the fecond of Spain againt the Lowe Countries. The reafons given by one in adminiftration for attacking the colonies, feem to be copied (with fome fmall alterations on account of religion) from the famous advice of the unfeeling duke of $A l v a$, that " fpecie retinenda digritatis," coft his mafter, his glory, his happinefs, and his proviaces-and funk his councty into diftrefies, fiom which fhe is not yet recovered. "At vero dux Albanus arma \& UlTIONEM, contendebat, unicum lxfa auctoritati principis remedium. Quippe ceteris artibus ac diucurna faciitave nihil aliud effecturn, quam ut regi obedicatia, rebellibus timor adiuneretur.

[^13]
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triots of thole times, to whofe virtues their defcendants
adimeretur. Poftulate priveipio Delgas, ut Hifpanus è provincia miles excèderet: id fcilicet unum deeffe contantes ad quietem populorum. Num propterea, impetrata externorum mifione quieviffe? An potiu; s: confidentius efflagitaffe, ut-clavo deturbaretur GRANVELLANUS. At unius forte naufragio complacatos fuiffe ventos.-Quin immout ícentia crefcit facilius--hominés à noftra facilitate fecuri-libellis deridiculis, fagigiofis con/pirationibus-improbis palam carmini-bus-minis-prcibus armatis-extorferent quod averentobftinatisinzverecunde legationibusHifpaniàm fatigarentHic quoque vifum clementix pincipis aliqua indigna pofentebus indulgere. Enin: vero quid exilla indulgentia relatum, nifi ut vororum ubique compotes, non parendo; fubdito fefe oblivifcerentur, obfeqium dedifccrent, atque exuta principis reverentia, communicata provinciarum defectione, tanquam culpa iocietate tutiotes, humana omnia contrectatafemel libertati pof haberent. Nuns vero non unius civitatis, fed \#\#ovinciarum confenfu peccatum effe in regem. Nec quia rebelles in prefentia conquiefcant, minus ferociax animis incfie, refumpturos utique vires, abi metum ultionis abjecerint. Sic ille pronus ad aspertora, differebat." Stracia de bello Belgico, lib. 6.
It is evident, that the Brilifh minifers have diligently ftudied Strada and the other authors who have tranfmited to poterity the pleafing ard inftructive annals o. Pbilipfic policy, as every meafure they have taken, is founded on a precedent fet by that celebrated fchool of humanity.
diva is the favorite matter - on his conduct they keep their eyes fteadily and reverently fixed, and it may truly be faid--they follow him with no unequal fieps. Great, gcod, and wite men! whom fome future Puffentiorf or $\mathcal{T}_{\text {emple }}$ le will ducly celebrate.
"In 1564, Granville was removed from the council, to appeafe the people. Their joy was fhort lived; for as the fame meafures were purfued, it foon began to be faid publicly
publickly, that though his body was removed from, bis fpirit nill influenced the council, Upon application for a relaxation of the edifts, it was faid, that moderation had only made matters zworle, and the obfervation of them was again enjoined upon more fevere penalties than before.
"At length an association was entered into, for mutually defending each other. This being figned by above $\boldsymbol{q}^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$ perions of quality, who all protetted, that they meant nothing but the honor of God, the glory of the king, and the good of their counery, they met and petitiones, that the proclanation might be revoked: but the king would confent to no mitigation. Good advice was given to him. But the duke D'liva's violent counfel, who proposed ihe enTIRE ABOLISHMENT OF THE LIBERTIES OF THE PRU. vinces* was moft pleafing and followed. The creel cuke was fent into the Sow Countriss with a powerful army. The counts D'Egmant and Horn, were immediately feizeds on a pretence that they had underhand, fpirited up the people's difaficizion. They were afterwards executed. All who 'had figned the association or fetition were declared guilty of $\ddagger$ hightreason, and aniverable for what had happened. A council called from its cruel proceedings, $\dagger$ THE COUNCIL OF BLOOD, was ereded fur trying the ac. cufed, from which there was no appeal. (Note weli) hiva himfelf tried the accufed in their own country, where their friends and witnefles might attend them, -where the pains of death itfelf might be mitigated, by feeing with their $d y$. ing eyes, that they expired beloved and lamented. Here, the difciples exceed their tutor. 'This is too great a confolation to be indulged to a colonitt. He mult be carried 3000 miles acrufs the ocean-that he may not only dye, but be infulted in nis laft noments, with the mockery of a trial where the clearelt innocence flands no chance of acquit-

> tal,
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tal, and with the formality of a fentenre founded on a ftatute paft before the colonies exifted. On the approach of the army, the prince of Orange and other lords fled; and being fummoned to appear before the council, in default thereof were condemned, and their effates confifcattd. Alva treated all, the innocent and guilty with fuch rigor, that it gave rife to the following fa, ing of a Spani,3 officer-" Haeretici fraxerunt templa; boni nihil faxerunt contra: ergo omnes debent patibulari"

Puffendorf's introduction-Art. "Spain" and "the United Provinces."
Sir William Temple's account of the difurbances in the Low Connaries agrees exaclly with the foregoing extracted out of Puffendorf, by which it will appear wi:: what a furprizing exactnefs of refemblance the affairs of the colonies have been carried on by adminiltration.
"The war with France being concluded, it was refolved to kedp up the troops in thefe provinces, and that the ftates fhold fupport them, which by a long courfe of war was gown cuftomary." When Pbilip would have put Spani/b gerrifons into fome of their towns; and for the fake of their admitting them quietly, gave the command to the Prince of Orange and Count Egmont : they told him plainly, "That all the brave tlanss they had made againft the power of France, availed them but little, if they mutt at latt be enflaved by another foreign power. Puff. " The tatied of the people, the infolence of the troops, with the charge of their fupport, made them looked upon bv the inhabitants in general, as the infruments of their oppreltion and lavery, and not of their defence, when a gene, al peace baa left them no enemies: And therefore the ftates bega.i here their complaints, with a general conient and paffion of all the nobles, as well as towns and country. And upon the delays that were contrived or fell in, the fates firt refufed to raife any more monies either for the Spaniards pay, or their own ftanding troops; and the people ran into
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## in maintainance of our caule, and in refutation

fo great di/pair, that in Zealand they abfiofutely gave over the working at their dikes, suffering the sea to gain everytide upon the country, and refolving, as they faid, rather to be devoured by that element, than by the Spanijb foldiers ; fo that at latt the king conferted to their removal. Another grievance was the appointment of neze judges, * and thofe abfolutely depending on the king, \&c."
"Granville, flamed up to the highef his matter's authority and the execution of his commands, while the provinces were refolute to proted the diberties of their country, againlt the admulfion of this new and arbitrary judicature, unknown to all antient laids aidd customs of their cojntry. The king at latt confenied to Granville's recefs. Then all noife of difcontent and tumult was appeafed. But quickly after the fame counfels were refumed. I'he diffurbances then grew greater than before. But by the prudence and maderation of the dutchers of Farma, the governef, the whole eftate of the provinces was reftored to its former peace. This dutch:efs, and the duke of Feria, one of the chief miniters in Spair, thoughs and advifed, that the ther present peace of the provinces ought not to beinvaded by new occasions, nor the royal authority lefened, by the king being made a a party in a wes epon his fubjects. But the $k i n g$ was immoveable; he expatched Aiva into the Low Country at the head thouiand veteran Spani,h and Italuan troops, under the command of the bef cfficers, which the wars of Charles she fifth, or Pbolip the iecond hed bred up in Europe; wh h, with two thoufand more in the provinces, under the comand of io old and renowned a general as the duke of Alva, made up a furce, which nothing in the Low Countries could look in the face with other eyes, than of aftonilhment,

- Acimsiralty courts. Rhore-If.nnd court, for enforcing the fapute of 35 Hen. 8. Act for regulatiag the governmeat of Maffinufebtsubay. Ack for adminilt:ation of juftice, So.
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of the pretenfions fet up by their too forgetful pofterity,
aftorifhment, fubmiffion or defpair. This power was for the afififance of the governefs, the exicution of the laws, the fuppref/ing and punifbing all who had been authors or fomentors of the late diffurbances. § On his anival the governefo having obtained leave of the king, retired out of the province. The duke of Alua was invefted in the goverument, with powers never before given to any governor. A council, called the council of blood, $\|$ was erected for the trial of all crimes committed againft the king's authority. The towns flomached the breach of their charteres, the people of their liaerties, the knights of the golden flecce the chartera of their order, by thefe new and odious courts ofjudicature; all complain of the disuse of the states, $f$ of the introdeiction op armies, but all in vain. The king was conftant to what he had detcrmined. Alva was in his nature cruel and inexora. ble. The new army was fierce and brave, and defirous of nothing fo much as a rebcllion in the country. The people were enraged, but awed and unheaded. All was seizure and process; -confiscation and imprisonment;*LOOD and horror;-insolence and dejection;funishments executed, and meditated revenge. The fmaller branches were lopt off a pace; the great ones were longer a hewing down. Counts $\mathrm{Fg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ mont and Horn lafted feveral months; but at length, in fpite of all their fervices to Cbarles the fifth, and to Pbilip, as well as of their new merits in quieting of the provinces, and of fo great fupplications and interceffions as were made in their favour, both in Spain and Flanders, they were publicly beheaded at Brufo. fels, which fecmed to break all patience in the people; and by their end to give thofe comnotions a beginning, which coft Eurofe fo much blood, and ifain a great part of the Low Country provinces. The war begun, Alva had at
\$ Sce foceclies in parliament, and preambles to the late acts.
|| See note in page ys.
4 Frequent Diffolutions of afemblies-and their co:al wie lefsacfs, if paxliament taxes us.
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pofterity, over their unhappy colonitts. Confiding
firf great fuccefs. Moved with no rumors, terrified with no threats from a broken and unarmed people, and trinking no meafures or forms were any more neceflary to be obferved in the provinces; he pretends greater fums are neceffary for the pay and reward of his viZorions troops than were annually granted upon the king's request by the: states of the pruvinces: ( Note. Here our minithe: shave again improved upon Pbilip's; for they have taxed us, without making requelts.) § And therefore demands a general tax of the hundredth part of every man's eftate, to be saifed at once: and for the future, the twenticth of all im. moveable, and the eighteenth of all that was fold. The ftates with much relutancy confent he firt, as a thing that ended at once. Theyperitia in king, but witaout redress; draw out the year in contelts; fometimes ftomachful, fometimes humble with the governor: Till the duke, imparient of delay, caufes the edich, withour congent of the states, to be publijed. The peopleryfuse to pay; the solders begin to levy by fokee; the townsmenall shut up their shops; the peofle an the country forbear the market; fo as not fo much as bread and neat is to be bought in the town. The duke is enraged; calls the foldiers to arms; and commands feveral of the inhabitants, whorefusedthe payments, to be hanged that very night upon their sign posts; which moves not the obllinacy of the people. And NOW
§ Another advantage the Britim mininers have over the Spaniju in depth of policy, is very remarkable. Spainpwas a great empire. The Low Countries a mere foeck, compared with it. Spain was not a maritime ftate that depended upon them for the fupply of her revenue. Had they been frank in the fea, flie would fiarcely have felt the lofs. Her profpect of fuccefs was almoft certai:. France, her then inveterate enemy, extaufted by a civil wat, and divided into two powerful parties. Every circumfance is directly the reverfe to Great-Britain ia her prefent couteft with the colonies. "Siquidern verifimum ef, ifnem tenis injicere, et baieco fpatiun modurquas. Stacuere, nos site in cjuflem maiau."
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fiding in the undeniable truth of this fingle pofition, that, " to live by one man's $\dagger$ will, became

NOW THE ORिBCERS AND THE GUARDS ARE READY TO BEGIN THE EXECURIONS. When news comes to town of the taking of the Pricl, by the Guefes, II and of the ex. pectation that had given of a fudden revolt in the province of Héllina.
"This unexpeatd blow struck the duke of Alva, and forefecing the confequesces of it, becaufe he knew the flubble was dry, and now he found the fire was fallen in, he thought it an ill time to make an end of the tragedy in Brabant, whilt a new frene was opened in Holland; and fo giving over for the prefent his taxes and executions, applies his thoughts to the fuppreffion of this nea enemy that broke in upon him from the fea. And now began that great commotion in the Low Countries, which never ended but in the lofs of cinfe provinces, when the death of the royal government gave life to a new commonwealth."

Obfervat. upon the visited provinces of the Netherlands by fir Wrillian Temple.
Philip and his junto of cabinet minifters thought themfelves no doubt very wife, and politic as fo many Machiasecls. But what fays, and will fay mankind as long as the memory of thofe events is preferved? That their counfels were deficable, their morives detefable, and their minds like thofe defcribed by the bifhop of Lerida, that exactly refembled the horis of the cows in his country-hittle, " hard, and crooked."

+ Hooker. "For a nian to be tenant at will of his liber?y I can never agree to it. It is a tenure, not to be found in all Litteton." Speech of Sir Edward Coke.
"Etiam fa domiaus non fat moleflus, tumen miferrimum ef, porie for velit."

Cicero.
Nnow in gentle "tyranns." fiee,
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became the caufe of all men's milery;" they generoully fuffered...- And the worthy bifhop betore mentioned, who, for ftrenuoully afferting the principles of the revolution, received the unufual honor of being recommended by a house of commons to the fovereign fur preferment, has juftly obferved, that "mijery is the fame whether it comes from the hands of many or of one."
"IT could not appear tolerable to him (meaning Mr. Hooker author of the ecclefiaftical policy) to lodge in the governors of any fociety an unlimited authority, to anull and alter the conftitution of the government, as they fhould fee fit, and to leave to the governed the privilege only of absolute subjection in all fuch alierations; * or to ufe the parliamentary phrafe, " in all cafes whbatfoever."
[ From what fource can Great-Britain derive a fingle reafon to fupport her claim to fuch an enormous power? That it is confiftent with the laws of nuture, no reafonable man will pretend. That it contradicts the precepts of cbriftianity, is evicient. For fhe ftrives to force upon us, terms, which the would judge to be intolerably fevere and cruel, if impofed on herfelf. "Virtual reprefentation," is too ridiculous to be regarded. The neceflity of a supreme fovereign legilla-
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tare internally fuperintending the whole empire, is a notion equally unjult and dangerous. "The pretence (fays Mr. juftice Blackfone fpeaking of fames the firft's reign)" for which erbitrary mealures was no other than the TYrants plea of the neceseity of unlimited powers, in works of evident utility to the $\dagger$ public, the fupreme reafon above all reatons, which is the falvation of the king's lands and people."

+ With fuch fmooth words may the mont dreadful defigus be glofed over. "There are fome men who call evil, good, and bitter, fweet.- Jufice, is now called popularity and Faction." Parl. hilt. 8. 193.
"A man flall not unprofitably fpend his contemplation, that upon this occafion confiders the method of God's juftice (a method terribly remarkable in many paffages, and upon many perfons, which we fhall be compelled to remember in this difcourfe) that the fame painciples, and the fame application of thofe principles fhould be ufed to the wrefing all fovereign power from the crown, which the crown had a little before made ufe of for the extending its authority, and power, beyond it's bounds, to the prejudice of the juft rights of the fubject. A supposed necrsaity was then thought ground enough to create a power, and a bare averment of that necessity to beget a prac. tice to impole what tax they thought convenient upon the fubject, by writs of fip-money never before known, and a fuppoled neceffity now, and a bare averment of that neceflity, is as confidently, and more fatally, concluded a good ground to exclude the crown fiom the ufe of any power, by an ordinance never before heard of, and the fame maxim of " falus populi fuprema lex," which had been ufed to the infringing the liberty of the one, made ufe of for deftroying the rights of the other."

Lord Charrudon's hitt. b. 5, p. 5f,
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people." This was not the doctrine of fames only. His fon unhappily inherited it from him. On this flimfy foundation was built the claim of flip moncy \&cc. Nor were there wanting men, who could argue, from the courtly text, that parliaments were too fupid or too factious to grant money to the cruwn, when it was their intereft and their duty to do fo. This argument however, was fully refuted, and hept above a century in proper contempt, iiii the polterity of thofe, who had overthrown it, tiouglit fit to revive the exploded abfurdity. Trising as the pretence was, yet it might much more properly be urs: !: "ivour of a fingle perfon, than of a multhti. ic. Pe counfels of a monarch may be moere beret. His meafures more quick. In pafing an act of parliament for all the colonies, as many men are confulted, if not more, than need be confu'ted, in obtaining the affent of every legiflature on the continent. If it is agne argument for parliament, it is a better againll them. It there. fore proves nothing but its own fu ility. The fuppojed aduantages of fuch a power, could never be attained but by the deftruction of $\dagger$ real bereL. 2 fits,
$\dagger$ Thus the patriots of Cbarles's days argued - "It is not, that fisp-money hath been levied upon Ls, but it is, that thereby flip-money; $\therefore \quad$ med, which is the gift and eap-nest penny of all we have: it is not, that our perfons have been imprifoned, for he payment of fip money, but that our persons and lives are, upon the fame gro:ind of law, delivered up to will and pleafiure. It is, that our MIRHTRIGHT
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fits, evidenced by facts to exifi without it. The Swifs Cantons, and the United Provinces, are combinations of independant ftates. The voice of each muft be given. The inftance of thefe colonies may be added: For ftating the cafe, that no act of internal legifation over them had ever been paft by Great-Britain, her wifeft fatefmen would be perplexed to fhew, that the or the colonies would have been lefs flourihing than they now are. What benefits fuch a power may produce hereafter, time will difcover. But the colonies are not depindant on Great-Britain, it is faid, if fhe has nor a fupreme unlinited leginature over them. "I would afk thefe loyal fubjects of the king (fays the author of a celebrated invective againft us) $\ddagger$ what king it is, they profe/s themfelves to be loyal fubjects of? It cannot be his prefent moft gracious majefty, George the third, king of Great Britain, for his title is founded on an act of parliament, and they will not furely arknowledge that parliament can give them a king, which is of all others, the higheft act of fovereignty, when they deny it to have power to tax
birthright is geftroyed, and that there hath been an en. deavour to reduce us to a lower fate than villainage. The, lord might tax his villain de haut et de baff, might imprifon him, but his LIFE was bis own; THE LAW SECURED HIM THAT."

Lord Clarendon.
$\ddagger$ See note on thefe words-" Therefore a power of re. gulating our trade, involves not in it the idea of a fupreme leginature over us." pa. 12h

## ${ }^{\left[88_{5}^{\circ}\right]}$

or bind them in any other cafe; and I do not recollect, that there is any act of aff mbly, in any of the colonies for fettling the crown upon king William or the illuftrious houfe of Hazover *"." "Curious reafoning this." § It is to be wifhed the gentleman had "recolleifed" that without any fuch " ast of affembly" none of the colonifts ever rebelled. What aft of parliament is here meant? Surely not he iith of Henry the fevench, chapter the it in

fivour

* "The controverfy between Great-Britain and her colonies revieived." The learned gentleman who wrote this piece, has thought proper to quit his argument, ftep out of his way, perfonally abufe and ieverely attack the writer of the "'Farmer's Letters." His principal objections are the following, and the anfwers here given may perhaps be fúficient to fhew with what force his objections are generally urged. Ift. He lays, "the writer of the letters, tells us, that the drawbacks which are allowed on fome articles upon their exportation fron England amount to mure money than all the duties together which are laid upon them on their arrival in the colonies will produce. I believe it is the firt time that the colonies of any ftate have complained of the injuatice of the mother country in laying taxes upon them, which were not fufficiently beavy, nor was it ever tefure dilcovered that the proper means to redrefs the grievances of any people, were to increafe tbeir taxes" Page 16. Anjwer. The truth of the affertion in the letters is not denied. It is affumed, by the autho: of the "cont. overfy," as the foundation of his argument. If then, parliament would have raifed more money, " by fopping the drawbocks, than by laying the duties' to be paid in the colonies," woby were they laid? From refpect for parliament it mult be fuppofed, they were laid for fome purpofe. "It was not for the fake of the money;
§ Id. p. ${ }^{17}$.
favour of a king de facto. Probably the 12 th and $13^{\text {th }}$ of William the 3 d chapter the 2 d " for the further limitation of the crown \&c." is intended. And, is it imagined that the words " dominions and territories thereunto belonging"
money. For what then? To efablifh a precedint for taxing the colonies, fays the writer of the letters. The author of the controverfy does not deny it ; hut enters into a differtation upon the more and the lefs, which is not the point in queftion. 2 dly . The writer of the letters fays, that " an aE of farliament commanding us to to do a certain thing, if it has any validity, is a tax upon us, for the expence \| that accrues in complying with it." In reply to this, the author of "the controverfy" enumerates many inflances of fovereignty Jubjecting the colcries to expence, which he fuppofes may be legally exercifed within the colonies " $B Y$ act of parliament". Pages 23, 24. Anjwer. The propriety of this fuppofition is denied, and remains to be proved. "Abfurdities and contradictions" are plentifully attributed to the writer of the letters, becaufe he will not acknowledge, that the power of parliament " to regulate trade, and prefervet the connection of the whole empire in due order," involves in it a power to " tax the colonies," or "to put them to any expence," parliament 乃all pleafe. A perfon of fuch fagacity, as the author of the controverfy, might plainly have perceived, if his refentment had not prejudiced his candor, that the writer of the letters, was unwilling to give up any point, which he tben thought effential to the freedom and welfare of his country, and at the

[^17]
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> belonging" in that ftatute, form his majelty's title to the fovereignty of thefe colonies? The omiffion of them might have looked odd; but what force is added by their infertion? The fettlement

the fame time was is unwilling to propofe any new fubjeck of difpute. Jally has the author of the controverfy ob-ferved-that " it would be endlefs to trace this doctrine of taxes through all the consequences." pa. 23. ${ }^{3}$ dly. The writer of the letters fays, "we are as much dependant on Great-Britain, as a perfectly free people can be on another." On this the author of the controverfy kindly obferves, that-" it is a pity the learned editor (the Englifs editor, it is fuppofed) his not given the public a differ-
tation
§" If any perfon thall imagine that he difcovers, in thefe leiters, the leat dililk: of the dependence of thefe colonies on Great-Britain, I beg that fuch perfon will not form any jndgment on particular exprefions, but will confider the tenor of all the letters taken together. In that cafe, I flatter myfelf, that every onprejudiced reader will be convineed, that the true interefts of Great-Britain are as dear to me, as they ought to be to every good fubject.
"If I am an enthufiaft in any thing, it is in my zeal for the perpctual dependence of thefe colonies on their mother country.- $\Lambda$ depeadence founded on mutual benefirs, the continuance of which can be fecured only by matual affections. Therefore it is, that with extreme apprehention I view the fmalleft feeds of difcontent, which are unwarily fcattered abroad. Fifty or fixty years will make aftonifhing alterations in thefe colonies : and this confideration fhould render it the bufinefs of Great-Britsian more and more to cultivate our good difpofitions towards her: But the mistortune is, that thofe great men, who are wrefling for power at home, think thenfelves very lightly interefted in the profperity of their country fifty or fixty years hence, but are deeply concerned in blowing up a popular clamour for fuppofed immediate advantages.
" For my part, I regard Great-Britain as a bulwark, happily fixed between thefe eolonies and the powerful nations of Europe. That kingdom remaining fafe, we, under its protection, enjoying peace, may diffufe the bleflings of religion, feience, and liberty, through remote wilderneffes. It is therefore inconteftably oar duty, and our interef, to fupport the frength of Great Britain. When confiding in that ftrength, the begias to forget from whence it arofe, it will be an eafy thing to thew the fource. She may readily be reminded of the loud alarm foread among her merchants and tradefinen
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tlement of the crown of England includes the fettement of the fovercignty of the colonies. King William is mentioned-and will the gentleman venture to fay, that William was not. king
traion on that moft ingen:ous and infrutive pafiage." pa: 25. Anjwer. American underflandings difcover no inconnftenex in the idea of "a flate being dependant, and yet perfectly free," and their temper is fo moderate that they would be content with that degree of freedom, which is compatible with a dependance. If the propofition puzzles Britifh underlandings, it is prefumed to be, becaufe Britons will not give themfelves the trouble to think of any dependance, but of fuch, as is deftructive of all freedom; though they themfelves are dependant in fome meafure on others. 4 ly. The writer of the letters fays-" if money be railed upon us by others without cur confent, for our defence, thofe who are the judges in levging it, mult alfo be the
tradefmen, by the univerfal affociation of thefe colonies, at the time of the famp-af, not to import any of hermanufactures.
$\because$ In the year 1718 , the Ruffians and Swedes entered into an agreement, not to fuffer Great-Britain to export any naval stores from their dominions but in Rufian or Swediß fliips, and at their own prices. Great-Britain was diftrefied. Pitch and tar role to three pounds a barrel. At length, the thought of getting thefe articles from the colonies ; and the attempt ficceeding, they fell down to fifteen fillings. In the year 1756 , Great- Britain was threatened with an invafion. An eafterly wind blowing for tix weeks, The could not maN her fleet, and the whole nation was thrown into the utmof confternation. The wind changed. The American hips arrived. The fleet failed in ten or fifteen days. There are fome other reflections, on this fubject, worthy of the mon deliberate attention of the Britifh parliament; but they are of suchanature, that I do not chufe to mention them publicly. I thought it my duty, in the year 1785 , while the famp-aif was in fufpenfe, to write my fentiments to a gentleman of great influence at home, who afterwards diftinguifined himfelf, by efpoufing our caufe, in the debates concerning the repeal of that aet." FAMMER's Letter, xii. p. 100.

If the author of "the controveriy" had feen the letter above referred to, he would have found, that, the difference between the prerogative in Great-Britain and in America, and the exercife of internallegisiation by parliament over the colonies, with fome other points therein mentioned, were reprefented in the ftsongent terms the writer of the letters could ufe, as unjuft,
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king of England and fovereign of thefe colonies, before his tiile wa: " declared" or "recognized" by " an act of parliament?" The gentleman hurs over this cafe. His zeal for the M "، illuftrious
the judges in applying it. [Or consequence, the money, faid to be taken from us for our defence, may be employed, to our injury. We may be * chained in by a line of fortifica-tions-obliged to pay for the building and maintaining them -and be told that they are for our defence ] With what face can we difpute the fact aftes having granted that thofe who apply the monev, had a right to levy it? For furely it is much eafier for their wifdem to underliand how tp apply it in the belt manner than how to levy it in the beft man. ner. Befides the right of levying is of infinitely more confequence than that of applying. The people of England, who would burit out into fury if the crown fhould attempt to $l$ wy money by its own authority, have always affigned to the crown the atplication of money."

From thefe words relating to "application" the author of " the controverfy" deduces a "proof," that the writer of the letters is very deficient in " his knowledge of the confitution"
and certainly tending it a few years to produce the deepeft difcontents. The time is at lengly come, when filence in Aworica on thefe fubjects would be fupid or criminal.

- The probability of this meafure taking place, is confirmed by the Canada bill, a political device fo extraordinary, ass to excite furprize even in thole colonifts who live in the year. 1774 . By this biil, it is faid, the legi/lative power is lodged in the gavernor and a few men, not lefs than 17 nor more than 23, appointed and removeable by the crown; and the government becomes wholly military.-Trials by jury are abolihited, though multitudes of Englifh fubjects fettled there on the encpuragement given by the king's proclamation in $17 \sigma_{3}$ - The French laws are re fored, and all the countryón thebackof thesenoo lonies is added to Canada, and put under thesame milittary government. This is indecd to be "chained in.", No-it thing is wanting to complete the pian, but our money, to defray the expence of crecting flrong haids among our woads and moe liona tains, and to bribe our Indians; and then the exprefian of "beatess. ing our fwords into plough-flares will be reverfed in an extraordinary, manner; for "our plough-ilares" will furnifh the very "fwords" that are to cut our own throats.
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"illuftrious houfe of Hancver" would be little gra:ified, by infering, that becaufe the two houles
tion" $\ddagger$ \&c Anfwer. Is this treatment generous? In fuch queftions ought the attack to be turned from the caufe to the man? The writer of the letters, pretends not to be diftinguihed, as a " critic on government" nor for " juftuefs or elegance of compofition." || Surely, even the author of " the controverfy" mult now be convinced of his averfion, to writing, as that performance, with all " the jultnefs and elegance of its compofition, knowledge of the fubject handled, \& contitutional learning difplayed in it," and employed to pull to pieces the reputation of the writer of the letters, has not rouzed him during fo many years fince its publication, to make a fingle effort in vindication of his character. Was it imagined, that every objection was juft, becaufe not replied to? Many reaions, befides a fear of encountering objections, may prevent an anfwer. In truth, he cannot be called a collunteer author. - He never did, and never dared to write, but quben the honour or interelt of his country was affalted-when duty compelled every one to contribute what affiftance he could in her defence-and when he hoped, the caufe would draw fome kind of a veil over his defects. He expected, he might efcape as the Spartan youth did, with fome flight cenfure for engaging improperly armed, but that his motive would excufe him from a fevere one. How well founded the prefent reproach is, will now be confidered. One would imagine, that a man of common fenfe on reading the foregoing extract from the letters, would underftand the writer plainly to mean by "lerying," the power of "sitaxing"-and by "applying," the power of " employing" the money raifed by taxing; or in other terms, the uctual expenditure of it. This meaning is evident-the conclufion being exprefs, that " if otbers may be judges in applying money, of consequence it may be employed to our injury" and then follow fome inflances, in which it "may *e fo. employed." All this is very clear. How then does

## $\left\lceil 9^{1}\right\rceil$

houfes with the confent of the nation, made a king, therefore the two houfes can make M 2 laws.
the very ingenious gentleman open his way to the writer of the letters, to give him this violent blow? By a dexterity worthy of imitation-if jufifiable. He leaves out of his quotation, all the words inclofed within the laft crotchet, beginning at the words "ef consequence" and onding at the words "our defence," that Beewed bevond a poffibility of doubt in what jenfe the word "applying" was ufed -- takes no notice of the omiffion-impofes anotber fenfe on the word -and then infults, may it be faid, over the fuppofed miftake of faying, "that the people of England have always affigned to the crown the application of money."

What fenfe be or others may afiign to the word ". apphcation" is not the point: but whether the word, taken in that Senfe which the writer of the letters exprefsly annexed to it, is ufed wuith propriety by him, or whether it is ufed in fuch a manner, as to " prove he is very deficient in his knowledge of the conftitution ?" By tbat word, as he defines it, politively as language can declare any meaning, he intends, the actual expenditure and "employment" of moneyAnd is the reader to be tricked out of that defnition, and antother fenfe fhuftled in, merely to impeach a mans character by llight of pen?

Has not the conftitution " afligned to the crown the actual expenditure and employment if money?" Is not this power part of the executive? Does not Mr. juftice Blackfone mention this power to fhew the vart inflience of the crown? He particularly takes notice of it with refpect to the army -in thefe expreflions-" paid indeed ultimately by the pcople, but immediately by the crown; raifed by the crown; officered by the crown; commanded by the crown."*

Is nut the word "" application" uied here too, not only properly, as defined, but properly, in a confituticual jenje?

True it is, that the word is fometimes ufed as fynonimous with appropriation, though this latter feems to be the fittett

[^18]
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daws. Yet that conclufion would be as juttifiable as this-that the affent of the colonies to an election
word to mean the defignation of money to particular purpofes in acts of pariainent. Could it be poffible, that the author of "the controverfy" Mhould imagine, the writer of the letters could be ignorant of fuch defignation or appropriation of money by parliament, when one can fcarcely open a book of fatutes, without obferving them ? Parliamen: may accommodate grants of money to public necefities -and may call officers of the crown to account for money; but thefe powers no more prove the actual expenditure and employment of money to belong to parliament, than the power of calling officers of the crown to account for in. jurious leagues, or declarations of war, proves the power of parliament to make leagues or to declare war. Befides, it being contended againft the colonies, that the " fovereign po.wer' is lodged in king, lords, and commons, the fame perfons may tax and expend, to what excefs and in what man. ner they please, while the colonies will have no kind of controul over them : And, that fuch an union of thofe powers, is unconftitutional and dangerous to the colonies in extreme, was the point the writer of the letter offenfively en, ventured to jfift on.

Exactly in the fenfe here contended for, are the words " appropriation" and " application" ufed in fome of the beft authorities. BiMop Ellys in his tracts on liberty, page 31, fays -" The parliament, at prefent, in granting money does for the moft part appropriate it to particular fervices, whereby the application of it is more effectually fecured." "When any aids are given, the commons only do judge of the neceffities of the crown, which cannot be otherwife made manifeft to them, than by inquiring, how the money which hath been granted, and revenue of the srown, is expended and applied." "Out of the aids given by parliament, (which by the law of England are appropri-

## $\left[\begin{array}{ll}93 & ]\end{array}\right.$

tion of a king by the two houfes, or to the limitation of the crown by act of parliament, proves a right in parliament to bind the colo. nies by ftatutes " in all cafes whatfoever." In fuch great poincs, the conduct of a people is influenced Jolely by a regard for their freedom and hap-
ated, and ought to bave been cmiloyed in the common profit of the whole realm) many large fums of money, during the times of fuch heavy taxes uponthe people, buve been diverted under the head of fecret fervices, and for Jalaries, bounties and penfions \&c."*.

Some other unfairneffes there are in this famous piece, that need only be viewed, to be refuted; but of which, it may be faid, if a " precedent" eftablifhed by the refpectable gentleman himfelf, can procure pardon for the expreffion, that " they are not entitled to notice." How could he venture to affert as he does, that - " the purpofe ot the letters was to excite refentment in the colonies againit their parent country and to pulh them on to a feparation from her." The letters prove the contrary. Few men have expreft a warmer zeal for the connexion, than the writer of the n! Yet his reputation is to be attacked on every account, and a charge even of difloyalty directly levelled againtt him. The author is welcome to take what other licenfes he pleafes in his reprehenfions of the writer; but he ought not to have denied his integrity. Their intentions mult fand the teft of a tribunal, that decides for eternity. May they then appear equally pure.

True indeed are thofe words of lord Clarendon.-. "Let o loneft man that is once entered into the litts, think, he can by any fill or comporment, prevent thefe conthets and af-faults-but let him, look upon it as a purgatory he is unavoidably to pafs through; and conflantly performing the duties of jufice, integrity and uprightnefs, depend upon providence, and time, for a vindication."

* Addrefs of the houfe of ca:mmons to queen Anne. Path. hiff.
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happinefs. The colonies have no other head than the king of England. The perfon who by the laws of that realm, is king of that realm, is our king.

A dependance* on the crown and parlitament of Great-Britain, is a novelty- -a dreadful novelty. - It may be compared to the engine invented by the Greeks for the deftruction of Troy†. It is full of armed enemies, and the walls of the conftitution muft be thrown down, before it can be introduced among us.

When it is confidered that the king as king of England has a power in making laws-..the power of executing them---of finally determining on appeals.--of calling upon us for fupplies in
times
*This word " dependance" as applied to the ftates connected with England, feems to be a new one. It appears to have been introduced into the language of the law, by the common wealth act of 1650 . A " dependance on parliament" is nill more modern. A people cannot be too cautious in guarding againff fuch innovations. "The credenitials of the imperial ambaffadors to the fates of Holland, were directed -- " to our faithful and beloved." The words feem to be very kind; but the cautious flates difcovered that this was the file of the imperial chancery in writing to the cuafals of the empire. The queftion was, whether the credentials fhould be opened? and it was urged, that a folemn embaffy ought not to be difappointed, for a tew tiifing words. But the fates refolved to fend them back unopened, which they did. Other credentials were then fent, w th a proper direction; and the ambaffadors were well rceived.: Aicana imp. det. p. 196 . 77 Co. 18.
times of war or any emergency---that every branch of the prerogative binds us, as the fubjects are bound thereby in England--and that all our intercourfe with foreigners is regulated by parliament....-Colonifts may "furely" be' acknowledged to fpeak with truth, and precif lion, in anfwer to the "elegantly" expreft queftion..." What king it is" \&xc. by faying that " his moft gracious majefty George the third"" is the king of England, and therefore, "the king" they..-profefs themfelves to be loyal fubjce7s of?"

We are aware of the objection, that, "is the king of England is therefore king of the colonies, they are fubject to the general legiflative authority of that kingdom." The premifes by no means warrant this conclution. It is built on a mere fuppofition, that, the colonies are thereby acknowledged to be within the realm, and on an incantation expected to be wrought by fome magic force in thofe woods. To be fubordinately connected with England, the colonies bave contracted. To be fubject to the general legiflative authority oí :hat kingdom, they never contraEted. Such a power as may be neceffary to preferve this connection the has. The authority of the fovereign, and the auchority of controuling our intercourfe with forcign nations form that power. Such a poserer leaves the colonies free.

## $[96]$

But a general leciflative power, is not a power to preferve that connection, but to diftrefs and enflave them. If the firlt power cannor fubfift, without the laft, the has no righteven to rhe firf, -..the colonies were deceived in their contract... and the power mult be unjuft and illegal; for God has given to them a better rigbt to preferve their liberty, than to her to deltroy it. In other words, fuppofing, king, lords and commons acting in parliament, conftitute a fovereignty over the colonies, is that fovereignty conititutionally absolute or limited? That ftates without freedom, hould by principle grow out of a free ftate, is as impolfible, as that fparrows, thould be produced from the eggs of an eagle. The fovereignty over the colonies, mutt be *limited. Hefiod long fince faid, " half is better than the whole;" and the faying never was more juftly applicable, than on the prefent occation. Had the unhappy. Cbarles remembered and regarded it, his private virtues might long have adorned a throne, from which his public meafures precipitated him in blood. To argue on this fubject from other inftances of parliamentary power, is thifting the

* "Nec regibus infinita aut libera poteflas, was the confitution of our German anceftors on the continent, and this is not only confonant to the principles of nature, of liberty, of reason, and of society, but has always been efteemed an exprefs part of the comsarisaw of England, even when prerogative was at the bighef." 1 Blackft. 233.
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ground. The connexion of the colonies with England, is a point of an unprecedented and delicate nature. It can be compared to no other cafe; and to receive a juft determination, it muft be confidered with reference to its own peculiar circumftances. $\dagger$ The common law exN
tends
$\dagger$ The learned Judge, [in Vol. I. pag. 107.] fays this country was not "uninbabiled when difcovered and planted by the Englifh, \&c. 'but ought to be confidered as a conquered, ceded, or infidel country. Our American plantations are principally of this latter fort, beino obtained in the laft century, either by right of conquefr and driving out the natives (with what natural juftice, I fhall not at prefent inquire) or by treaties: and therefore the common law of England, as fuch, has no allowance or authority there, they being no part of the mother country, but diftinct (though dependent) dominions. They are fubject however to the controul of the parliament."

According to this dostrine, the colonifts are confidered in a legal riew by the parent ttate, "as infidels or conquered people," not as her cnildren with her confent eftablifhing focieties for her benefit. Though not a fingle man of the "infidels or conquered" people, fhould now be found to refide in each colony; yet a political contagion is communicated to Englifomen in Secula ficulorum, becaufe Indians once fifthed in the rivers, and hunted in the woods. If this be their "condition," then according to the law laid down by the jadge, " they are fubject not only to the controw! of parliament," but the "King may alter and impofe what laws be pieafes." IT

It is not known, what the learned Judge means by the word "principally." Perhaps he alludes to the ill directed bumanity and jufice of the firft fettlers of fome colonies, who purchafed the lands from the natives, for valuable and fatisfactory

[^19]
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tends to colonies; yet Mr.juftice Blackfone fays, " fuch parts of the law as are neither neiefla-
fatisfactory confiderations. It was a very ufelelefs exercife of their virtues, for their pofterity. If they had by accident fettled an " uxinbabited" country, the invaluable rights of the common law would have attended them; but when they dared to obtain a fettlement by bumanity and jufice, they jorfeited all rights of the common law, to the lateft fucceediris ages. Can this be law? Every cafe quoted by the Judge, it is humbly apprehended, makes a diftinction between ftates or focieties compofed of Eigglißß jubjccts, and thofe compofed of "conquered" people, \&c. and that this is, the only diftinction warrantable by thofe cales. That the conquerors fhould be confidered as the conquered, the expellers of the natives as the expelled natives, and the cbrifian poffeffors and ouvners by fair purchafes from thofe who bad a right to fell, as at the infidels no longer poljeffing or owning, feems to involve a confufion of ideas, little agreeing with the ftrength of reafon that informs the common law.

It is very remarkable, how our ablelt antagonifts are perplexed in framing their arguments againft us. Even the learned judge does not exprefs himfelf with his ufual perfpicuity: But the want of it is well atoned, if we, colonifts, can be thereby deprived of the benefits of the common larw, and be abfolutely fubjected to the king; for thefe courtly tenets are the only confequences deducible from the curious argıment that tends to involve thefe colonies in the misfortunes of "coinquered, ceded, or infidel countries." The "controul of parliament," is afferted to be fupreme, in ericy cafe. Whether the colonies were fettled in "uninhabited countries," orin : $:$ conquered, ceded, or infidel count'ies," makes no difference as to tbat point.
A nother learned gentleman has difcovered, that we " are not cntitled to as great a degree of freedom as Ireland." Why' $?$ "Becaufe Ireland was a conquered country." This remark does not feem to remove the difficulty. Let us hear the point a little more explained, "Iroland it is true was
ry nor convenient for them, as the jurifdiction of the fpiritual courts, \&c. are therefore not in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ force."
conquered, but certain conseffions were made to the people. Thefe were the terms granted them, but England is obliged to keep no terms with the colonilts." Ac every ftep thefe gentlemen take, thofe writers, who have contributed fo much to the glory of their country, turn upon them, and directly oppofe them. They at firf fhrink before thefe venerable advocates for liberty and humanity-but recolleeting themeelves, they ditinguifh and refine, in order to take away the fubftance of every argument, and to whittle down a Hooker and a Locke into a Lefrange and a Filmer. After taking thefe liberties, they at length grow bu'd enough to arraign the authority of any man, even Mr. Locke himfelf, if his writings cannot, by all this art, be turned to their purpofe.

We need not be furprifed after this, that every colonift, who ventures honeitly, to affert, as well as he can, the caufe of his native land, fhould be treated with little refpect. The colonies have always been on the defenfive. It is hoped they will always continueso. But the author of "the controverfy" charges them with great cunning, a left handed wifdom, that muft difgrace any people-becaufe they have not refifted, in places where they were not immediately attacked. "It is the artifice of the managers, on the part of the colonies, to avoid general queitions, ard to keep back and conceal confequences, lealt the unfufpecting people of England fhould too foon catch the alarm, and refolve to withftand their firft attempts at independency." $\|$ That is-they have acted juft as the " unfufpecting people of England" have done in their controverfies with the crown. Thay confined themfelves from time to time, to a demand of redrefs, for the injuries offered them. This behaviour of the colonilts, would, by fome perfons, be deemed modeft and refpectful. Now indeed the conduct of adminiftration demonltrates
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force." If even the common law, in force within the realm of England when the colonilts quitted it, is thus abridged by the peculiar circumftances of colonies, at leaft equally juft, and conftitutional is it, that the power of making new laws within the realm of England, fhould be abridged with reffeet to colonies, by thofe peculiar circumftances. $\ddagger$ - THE
to us, that we mult enlarge our views, and endeavourto take 2 profpect of all the mifchiefs neceffarily attending a claim of boundlefs power with an unbounded inclination to exercife it. The gentleman may perhaps call for fire and faggots to extirpate our political herefy; but we truft, and truft firmly, that, the fenfe and generofity of the good people of England, will difcover and defeat the prefent plan againft their liberties, as they have alrcady fo many other fchemes of that ten-dency-that they will behold their dutiful children with compaffionate love, and with juft indignation thofe unrelenting enemies, frop whom they can expect no other favor, but that England " fhall be the lalt they will devour."
$\ddagger$ The author of the controverfy, in page 31 of his work, argues thus concerning the legiflative power of Great Britain over the colonies. "The lands in all the colonies having "s therefore been clearly fhewn to be part of the dominions " of Great-Britain, and the poffeffors of them to hold them "s under authorities and titles derived from the Britißh fate, " Mr. Locke would require no other proof of the right of the " leginative power of Great-Britain to the obedience of the " poffeffors of thefe lands; for fpeaking of the manner by "4 which a man tacitly makes himfelf a fubject of any country " or government, he fays,"
"It is commonly fuppofed, that a father could oblige ec his pofterity to that government of which he himfelf was " a fübject, and that his compact held them; whereas it " being only a neceffary condition annexed to the land, and *6 the inheritance of an eflate, which is under that govern-
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The laws of England with refpect to prerogative, and in other inftances, have accommodated themfelves
" ment, reaches only thofe who will take it on that con" dition, and fo is no natural tie or engagement, but a "6 voluntary fubmifion; for every man's children being ly " nature as free as himfelf, or any of his anceftors ever "were, may, whilft they are in that freedom, choofe what " fociety" they will join themfelves to, what common" wealth they will put themfelves under; but if they will " enjoy the inheritance of their anceftors, they muft take it " on the fame terms their anceftors had it, and fubmit to " all the conditions annexed to fuch a poffeffion." "Whoever (fays he in arother place) by inheritance, pur" chafe, permiffion, or otherways, enjoys any part of the " lands fo annexed to, and under the government of, that " common-wealth, muft take it with the condition it is un" der; that is, of fubmiting to the government of the " commonwealth under whofe jurifdiction it is, as far, "forth as any fubject of it." page 31. The ingenuity of the gentleman is here again remarkable. Mr. Locke in his 8th chapter on civil government " Of the beginning of poiitical focieties," immediatly before the words abovementioned " Whoever by inheritance," \&c. fpeaks of a man who " unites his perfon which was before free to 2 fociety for the fecaring and regulating of property, and fubmits to the community thofe poffeffions which he has or fiall acquire, that do not already belong to any other government." Thefe words the gentleman not thinking quite to his purpofe in this place, feparates from the words of his quetation, and fo gives Mr. Locke's conclution without his premifes. However three pages after, he is fo candid, as to give the premifles without the conclufion. How, or why? to fupport this moft curious dittinction, -that Mr. Lüne, in that celebrated part of his argument where fpeaking of "government taking the property of fubjects," he fays "What. property have I bathat; hhich another may by
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themfelves, without alteration by fatutes to a change of circumitances, the welfare of the people

RIGHT TAKE FROM ME WHENHE PLEASES"" $\dagger$ " means no more" than that the fupreme legiflative power has no right to take the property of others without their confent "for the private use or purpose of the legilative." So that according to this conftruction, the conflitution of a well eftablifhed government, or the freedom of a people, depends not on the great right which God has given them "Of having a hare in the government of themfelves," whereby their property is fecured, but merely, on the "purpofe," to which the property taken from them without their confent is applied by thofe who thus take it. And yet.this gentleman has feverely attacked the writer of the letters, for ufing the word "purfofe" in a much more confined fenfe, in laying, a " tax is an impofition on the fubject for the fole purpofe of levying money."

Mr. Locke; in the preceding chapter, fpeaking of monarchy fays, "that abfolute power purifies not mens bloods. For if it be afked what fecurity or fence arifes in fuch a fate, againtt the violence and oppreffion of the abfolute ruler? the very queftion can fcarce be borne. They are ready to tell you it deferves death, only to alk after fafety. Betwixt fubject and fubject they will grant there mutt be meafures, laws and judges for their mutual peace and fecurity: But as for the ruler, be ought to be abjolute, and is abceve all fuch circumftances; becaufe he has power to do more hurt and wrong, 'tis right when he does it. To alk how you can be guarded from harm or injury on that fide, where the ftrongeft hand is to do it, is prefently the voice of faction and rebellion." But here our opponent may come in with another diftinction. 0 Mr . Locke fpeaks here of an abfolute ruler, not of $a b$. folute rulers. Lilly proves that there is the fingular number, and the plural number. A power that Mr. Locke would have held illegal in a Piffiratus or a Stuart, he would have held
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fo requiring. A regard for that grand object perpetually
held legal in the four bundred of Atbens, or the parliament of Great Britain." Let the ditinction be allowed its due weight. Can it be believed that fuch a friend to mankind, as Mr . Locke was, could ever think aibjolute dominion $\ddagger$ juft or legal? Would not fuch a fentiment directly oppofe thofe principles, his benevolence iudiced him to take fo much pains to vindicate and eltablifh? Would the found of the words-" dependance -" " fabordination -" " within the realm -" " part of the dominions -" \&c. have convinced him, that it was " the indilpenfable duty of parliament to eafe the gentry and pzople of Great-Britain by taxing the colonifts without cheir confent?" -and that it was the indifpenfable duty of the colonilts on confitutional princi: ples to fubmit to fuch taxation? The learned fay that the too rigid attention of the mind to one idea fometimes is the caufe of malnefs. So rigid has been the attention of many heads in Great-Britain to the idea of defendance, that it feems to have occafioned a kind of infanity in them; and by ruminating, fpeechifying, and enacting about it and abou: it, they have loft all ideas of juttice, humanity, law and conftitntion, and in fhort of every quality that ufed to diftinguih men from the reft of this creation, and Englifhmen from the reft of mankind. But Mr. Locke's underflanding, even in the prefent whirl of the political world, would have preferved him, juft and tenacious of his principles. The care he puts, and on which the author of "the controverfy" argues, is that of a fubmifion to the tirms of government in a common-wealth. The queltion bes tween Great-Britain and the colonies, is, rubat are the terms of their connexion under all the circumflances of it.

It is not recollected that Mr. Locke ever infinuates, that the parliament of Great-Britain might bind the people of

[^20]
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petually animates the conftitution, and regulates all its movements--unlefs unnatural obftructions interfere.--
" Spiritus intus alit, totamque infufa perartus " Mens agitat molem, EO magno fe corpore mifcet."

Another

Ireland by flatutes, "in all cafes nubatfoever." Yet there was in his time a famous difpute concerning the authority of parliament over that kingdom. So far was he from favouring the claim of parliament, that it is hoped, it can clearly be proved, he favoured the other fide of the quettion.

His friend Mr. Molineux, in a letter dáted March 15 , $16 \mathrm{c}, 7-8$, tells him of his intentions to vifit him_wwhen he coald get loofe from bufinefs: " But this I cannot hope for till the parliament in England rifes. I hould be glad to know from you when that is expected, for indeed they bear very hard upon us in lieland. How juftly they can bind us, without our confent and refrefentatives, I leave the author of the two treatifes on government to confider"-meaning Mr. Locke's twotreatifes - one on government - the other on civil government; tho' they are publifhed alfo as one, treatife, the firft book of which is under the firlt title, and the fecond book under the fecond title.

Mr. Locke, in his anfwer dated April (, 1698, fays, " amongh other things I would be glad to talk with you about, before I die, is that which you fuggeft at the bottom of the firit page of your letter. I am mightily concerned for the place meant in the queftion you fay you will alk the author of the treatife you mention, and wi/h extremely well vo it, and would be very glad to be informed by you what swould be bef for it, and debate with you the way to compofe it: Bur this cannot be done by letters; the fubject is of too great extent, the views too large and the particulars too
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Another argument for the extravagant power of internal legiflation over us remains. It has been urged with great warmth againft us, that "precedents" hew this power is rightfully vefted in parliament.

Submission to unjuft fentences proves not 2 right to pafs them. Carelefsnefs or regard for the peace and welfare of the community, may caufe the fubmifion: Submiffion may fometimes be a lefs evil than oppofition, and therefore a duty. In fuch cafes, it is a fubmifion to the divine autbority, which forbids us to injure our country; not to the aflumed autbority, on which the unjuft fentences were founded. But when fubmiffion becomes inconfiftent with and deftructive of the public good, the fame veneration for and duty to the divine antbority, commands us to oppofe. The all wife Creator of man impreft certain laws on his nature. A defire of happinefs; and of fociety, are two of thofe laws. They were not intended to deftroy, but to fupport each other Man has therefore a O right
many to be fo managed. Come therefore yourfelf, and comb as well prepared as you can. But if you talk with others on that point there, mention not me to any body on that fubject; oniy lei you and I try what good nve can do for thofe whbom we wuig well to; great things have fometimes been brought about from f̀mali beginnings weill laid together."

Mr. Molineux quickly after came over from Irelana to England to fee Mr. Locks.
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right to promote the beft union of both, in order to enjoy both in the bigheft degree. Thus, while this right is properly exercifed, defires, that feem felfifh, by a happy combination, produce the welfare of others. "This is removing fubmifion from a foundation unable to fupport it, and injuriousto the honor of God, and fixing it upon much firmer ground."*

No fenfible or good man ever fufpected Mr. Hooker of being a weak or factious perfon, " yet he plainly enough teacheth, that a fociety upon experience of univerfal evil, bave a right to try by another form to anfwer more effectually the ends of government"-And Mr . Hoadley afks-" Would the ends of government be deftroyed fhould the milerable condition of the people of France, which hath proceeded from the king's being abśolute, awaken the thoughts of the wifeft heads amongft them; and move them all to exert themfelves, fo as that thofe ends fhould be better anfwered for the time to come ?"

What mind can relifh the hardy propofition, that becaufe precedents have been introduced by the inattention or timidity of fome, and the cunning or violence of others, therefore the latter have a right to make the former miferable
able-that is, that precedents that ought never to have been fet, yet being fet, repeal the eternal laws of natural juftice, humanity and equity.*

The argument from precedents begins unruckıly for its advocates. The firft produced againft us by the gentleman before mentioned, was an act paffed by the Commonwealth parlia-2
ment

* $\qquad$ " I could never think.
"A mortals law of power or ftrength fufficient
"To abrogate the unwritten law divine,
" Immutable, eternal, nut like thefe
"Of yefterday, but made e'er time began."
Sophocles's Antig. Frank. Iranfi.
It fhould be confidered, whether it ever was or ever can be the true incereft of a kingdom or fate to violate the laws of natural jultice, equity and humanity. Thefe laws may be called the laws of God. Can they be broken with impunity? The fcriptures are full of leffons on this lubject, and hiftory furnifhes inflances fufficient to alarm opprefiors, if they would attend to them. All the glories of Cbarles the bold,-Cbarles the fifth,-Pbulip the fecond,-Cbarles the twelfth,-Lewis the fourteenth, -and a numerous lift of diftinguifhed princes, were overcatt, when unrelenting cruelty came to prefide over their refolutions. From Athens to Genea the oblervation holds true. Let not the opinion be condemned as prefumptuous, before it be fully enquired into. It is worth an enquiry.
"Difcite juftitiam moniti \& non temnere divos.". England has been profperous in many civil wars, but they were in defence of liberty. She never engaged in one a-gainft liberty. - Would to Heaven, fhe would fet the world the much wanted example of lenity in government. Mankind might gain by it. The other mode has been fufficiently tried, and proved to be impolitic and ruinous,

32 ment in 1650 to "punif" Virginia $\uparrow$, Barbiados, Antigua, and Bermidas, Tor therr midelity to Charles the Second. So antient is the right of parliament to " punifl" Colonifts for doing their duty. But the parliament had before overturned cburch and tbrone, fo that there is an older "precedent" fet againft thefe.

That parliament fat amidft the ruins that furrounded it, fiercer than Marius among thofe of Carthage. Brutal power became an irrefiftible argument of boundlefs right. What the ftyle of an Arifotle could not prove, the point: of a Cromwell's fword fufficiently demonitrated. Innocence and Juftice fighed and fubmittedWhat more could they do? The Reftoration took place, and a legal parliament would not doubt but it had as extenfive a right as an illegal one. The Revolution fucceeded, and with it methods for blending together the powers oit king and people in a manner before unknown. A new political alembic was fixed on the great principle of refiftance, and in it, fevere experiments were to be made on every other pt:nclple of the conflitution. How the boldness of mini-

+ This loyal, generous colony preferved its principles with fuch fpirit, notwithflanding the oppreflion abovementioned, that in fanuary $16 ; 9$, they threw of all obedience to the parliament, replaced the kings governor, and proclaimed Cbarles the fecond, feveral months before the reflo. ration in Eurofe:

Aers \& contempt of the people have increased fince that perion, not a man the leaft acquanted with Eugli/b hiitory can be ignorant. The Colonies were in a flate of infancy-ftill in a fate of childhood. Noe a fingle itatute concerning them is recollected to have been paft berote ela Revolution, but fuch as related to the regulation of trade. "Precedents" were afterwards made, that, when they grew up, the authority of a mofer might fucceed thas of a parent.

Precements, it is appehended, are no otherwife regarded in the Engrifis laws than as they eftablifh certainty for tae benefit of the people-according to the maxim-" miferable is the fervitude when the laws are uncertain." Precedents militating againftithe welfare or happinefs of a people, are inconliftent with the grand original principle on which they ought to be founded. Their fuppofed fanction encreafes in proportion to the repetitions of injurtice. They muit be void. In fubjects of difpute between man and man, precedents may be of ufe, though not founded on the beit reafon. They caufe a certainty, and all may govern themfelves accordingly. If they take from an individual one day, they may give o him the neat. But precedents to overthrow principles, to juftify the perpetual oppreition of all, and to impair the power of the comfilution, though cla cloud of them ap-
pear, have no more force than the volumes of duft that furround a triumphal car. They may obfcure it: They cannot ftop it. What would the liberties of the people of England have been at this time, if prepcedents could have made laws inconfiftent with the conftitution? Precedents tending to make men unhappy, can with propriety of character be quoted only by thofe beings, to whom the mifery of men is a delight.
" If the ufage had been immemorial and uniform, and ten thoufand inftances could have been produced, it would not have been fufficient; becaufe the practice muft likewife be agreeable to the principles of the law, * in order to be good : whereas this is a practice inconfiftent with, and in direct oppofition to the firft and cleareft principles of the law" t-to thofe feelings of bumanity, out of which mankind will not be reafoned, when power advances with gigantic ftrides tnreatening diffolution to a ftare-to thofe inberent thougb latent powers of fociety, which no climate, $\|$ no time, no confitution, no contract, can ever deftroy or diminilh." $\ddagger$
A.

[^21][^22]
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A parliamentary power of internal legiflation over thefe colonies, appears therefore to us, equally contradictory to humanity and the conftitution, and illegal.

As to the fecond head, a power of regulating our trade, our opinion is, that it is legally vefted in parliament, not as a fupreme legiflature over thefe colonies, but as the fupreme legiflature and full reprefentative of the parent ftate, and the only judge between her and her children in commercial interefts, which the nature of the cafe, in the progrefs of their growth admitted. It has been urged, with great vehemence againft us, and feems to be thought their fort by our adverfaries, " that a power of regulation is a power of legillation, and a power of legillation, if conftitutional,
deter men from keeping thefe uppermost in their thoughts." Mr. Hume's hift. of England. "The jurfdiation of the flar chamber, martial law, imprifonment by warrants from the privy council, and other practices of a iike nature, though efablifbed for feveral centuries; were fcarce ever allowed by the Engli/ß to be parts of their conflitution: the affection of the NATION FOR LIBLRTY STILL PREVAILED OVER ALL GRECEDENT, AND OVERALL POLITICAL REASONING: The exercife of thefe powers, after being long the fource of fecret murmurs among the people, was, in fulnefs of time, folemnly' abolifhed, as illegal, at leaft as oppreffive, by the whole legillative authority." id. To thefe inftances may be added, the late practice of general warsants, that had the fanction of precedents, even fince the revolution.
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conftitutional, muft be univerfal and fupreme in the utmoft fenfe of the words. It is therefore concluded, that the colonifts, by acknow: ledging the power of regulation, have acknowledged every other power." On this objection we obferve, that according to a maxim of law, " it is deceitful and dangerous to deal in general propofitions." The freedom and happinefs of ftates depend not on § artful arguments, but

Oil

§ Our chance of fuccefs would be flight indeed, if it depended on fubieties of reafoning. Who can refift the ikilful and courageous attacks of thofe Britons, who have not long fince diftinguifhed themfeives in the polemical fields ? Have they not proved to the fatisfaction of thoufands, the non exiftence of matter-the neceffity of human actionsconfequently the inaocence of them-the comfortable mortality of the foul-that virtue is a name-vice a jeft-liberty a nonentity-chriftianity an impofture-and, with due deteftation be it mentioned; that we have no idea of porwer, nor of any Being endowed with any power, much less. of one endowed with infinite power ${ }^{\text {P " }}$

With explofions of learning and flathes of wit, thefe well trained troops would keep up a terrible fire of artillery and fmall arms againtt us undifciplined Americans. We muft not meet them in the fiock of battle. That would be madness in the extreme. We mult make the moft of our natural adrantages.-There we are fafe ; and all the forces that can be brought to the affault, will never be able to prevail againft us. To drop the metaphor. "Inquiry ceafes to be rational, and becomes bith whimfical and pernicious, when it advances as far as fome late authors have carried it, to coutrovert the firft principles of knowledge, morality, religion, and confequently the funs damental laws of tine Britifh government, and of all well regulated fociety." .. Mr. Beattie on truth.

## [173]

on a ferw plain principles. The plaufible apparance of the objection confits in a confufed comprehenfion of feveral points, entirely difinet in their nature, and leading to confequences directly oppofite to each other. There was a time, when England had no colonies. Trade was the object the attended to, in encouraging them. A love of freedom was manifeftly the chief motive of the adventurers. The connexion of $\mathrm{co}_{-}$ lonies with their parent ftate, may be called a new object of the Engli/b laws. That her right extinguifhes all their rights,-rights effential to freedom, and which they would have enjoyed, by remaining in their parent ftate, is offenfive to reafon, humanity, and the conftitution of that ftate. Colonies could not have been planted on tbese terms. What Englifomen, but an ideot, would have become a colonift on thefe conditions ? to mention no more particulars, "That every fhilling he gained, might rightfully be taren from him-trial by jury abolifhed-th. building houfes or making cloths with the materials found or raifed in the colonies prohibited-. and armed men fet over him to govern him in every action ?" P Had

It has been afferted by fome men diftinguifhed as hiftorians, that the zeal of the reformers in religion engaging them to think liberally on that fubject, led them to think with like freedom in civil affairs, whereby the government of England received its greateft improvement. If the fentiment is juft, may it not be inferred, that contempt for resligion, muft neceflarily introduce an indifference for all the juft rules of government \& the principles of the conftitution?

## [114 7

Had thefe provinces never been fettled-had all the inhabitants of them now living, been born in England, and refident there, they would now enjoy the rights of Englijbmen, that is, they would be free in that kingdom. We claim in the colonies thefe and no other rights. There no other kingdom or ftate interferes. But their trade, however important it may be, as the affairs of mankind are circumftanced, turns on other principles. All the power of parliament cannot regulate that at their pleafure. It muft be regulated not by parliament alone, but by treaties and alliances formed by the king without the consent of the nation, with other fates and kingdoms. The freedom of a people confifts in being governed by laws, in which no alteration can be made, woitbout their confent. Yet the wholefome force of thefe laws is confined to the limits of their own counary. That is, a fupreme legillature to a people, which acts internally over that people, and inevitably implies perforal affent, reprefentation, or Лlavery. When an univerfal empire is eftablihed, and not till then, can regulations of trade properly be called, acts of fupreme legiflature. It feems from many authorities, as if almoft the whole power of regulating the trade of England was originally vefted in the crown. One reftriction appears to have been, that no duty could be impof$\checkmark$ without the confent of parliament. Trade
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was little regarded by our warlike anceftors. Ai commerce became of more importance, duties, and feverities were judged neceffary additions to its firft fimple ftate, parliament more and more interfered. The conftitution was always free, but not always exactly in the fame manner. "By the Feodal law, all navigable rivers and bavens were computed among the Regalia, and were fubject to the fovereign of the ftate. And in England it hath always been held, that the king is lord of the whole fhore, and particularly is guardian of the ports and havens, which are the inlets and gates of the realm : and therefore, fo early as the reign of king John, we find Ships feized by the kings officers, for putting in at a place that was not a legal port. Thefe legal ports were undoubtedly at firft affigned by the crown; fince to each of them a court of portmote is incident, the jurifdiction of which muft flow from the royal authority. The erection of beacons, lighthoufes, and fea marks is alfo a branch of the royal prerogative. The king may injoin any man from going abroad, or command any man to return. The pawers of eftabliming public marts, regulating of weights and meafures, and the giving authority to, or making current, money, the mediun of commerce, belong to the crown. By making peace or war, leagues; and treaties, the king may
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open or ftop trade as he pleafes. The admiralty courts are grounded on the neceffity of fupporting a jurifdiction fo extenfive, though oppofite to the ufual doetrines of the common law. The laws of Oleron were made by Richard the firt, and are ftill ufed in thofe courts." In the "Mare caufum", are "feveral regulations made by kings *: Time forbids a more exact enquiry

- The power of regulating trade, was carried fo far by the crown, as fometimes to impofe duties; and queen Elizabetb obtained feveral judgments in the exchequer on fuch regulations. Lord chief juftice Coke anfwers the argument founded on thefe-in 2 intt. 62.63. Princes aimed at too much power - exceeded due buund - their imprudence produced "، grievances" and the people who always fuffer, wher their rulers are weak or wicked, would no longer truft fuch opportunities of oppreflion in their hand. The power of imprefing feamen, ihews the cxtenfive authority in naval affairs trulted to "the crown."

1. Blackit. 419. Fofters rep. 154.

So extremely averfe were the Englifo to foreign affairs, and to the exercife even of parliamentary authority concerning them, that though the nation was juftly provoked againt the Frencb king for the injury done to Edward the If by withtiolding Aquitaine and his other inheritances in manner (as lord chief juttice Coke oblerves in his 2 d inft. pa. 532 ) and by fome cruel actions of Frenchinen againft Englifhmen, and had in full parliament granted him aids, fubfidies, for the maintenance of his wars in foreign parts, yet in the confirmationes chartarum, Ed. If, therein taking notice, "that many men doubted, Whether thefe grants by parliament might not turn in fervage of them and their beirs, as precedents, exprefsly declares in thofe fatutes, that fuch grants fhall not be drawn into curfom, The comment fays- it was holden that the fub-
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into this point: but fuch it is apprehended, will on enquiry be found to have been the power of the
jects of the realm ought not to contribute to the maintenance of the kings wars out of the realn-but this asatter was never in quiet, until it was more particularly explained by divers ads of parliament." The comment then mentions feveral acts declaring that no Englifoman hall be bound to contribute to the kings wars out of England, in Scotland, Gajcoigny, Ireland, Calais,) though theje three laft were countries dependant on England,) and fays, "thefe acts of parliament are but declarations of the antient law of Eng-land-But here may be obferved, that when any antient law or cuftom of parliament" (fuch as before mentioned by making acts relating to foreign wars) " is broken, and the crown poffeffed of a precedent, how difficult a thing it is, to restore the subject again to his former PREEDDOM AND SAFETY." 2 ins. 527-529.

The author of " the controverfy," who with a liberality of fentiment becoming a pleader againft freedom and the beft intereft of mankind, counts, "ttatute books"-_" minif-ters"-" king's council"-pa. 77. 78. -" fcraps of journals" pa. 8: and ordinances of "t the rump parlia-ment"-pa. 87. among his "DEITIES" pa. 78; and grieves that we poor "infudel" colonits will not pay his idols the veneration his zeal judges due to them, has col. lected a good many fragments of proceedings in the boure of commons from the year 1614 to 1628 . The amount is this, that the minilters of the erown infifted, that parliament could not make laws for America; that the the commons doubted; but at length in 1724 , came to an opinion, that the king's patent for " a monopoly of fighing on the coafts of America was a greevance,"-that a "claufe of Forfeiture" againft thofe who inteifered in the fifihery was void - and paft a bill "for a free liberty of filming" \&c. It appears in the debates that the filhery was free befors the patent cwas granted - Thefe extraets do not flew, what became
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the crown, that our argument may gain, but cannot lofe. We will proceed on a conceffion, that the power of regulating trade is vefted in parliament.
Commerce refts on conceffions and reftrictions mutually ftipulated between the different powers of the world; $\dagger$ and if thefe colonies were fovereign ftates, they would in all probability be reftricted to their prefent portion*. The people
became of the bill in the houfe of lords. One Mr. Brooks faid in 1621.-"We may make laws here for Virginia, for if the king gives confent to this bill paft here and by the Jords, this will controul the patent."

It feems, as if the notion of the king's regulating power still prevailed, but, that "a claure of forfotiture" in fuch re. gulations was void." So nuch had the power of parliasnent grown fince king Jobn's reign. Nor does it appear to have been unreafonable, as commerce became of more confequence. The inflance here mentioned, related to a regulation of trade; and however the kint might have aceommodated the point, with the other branches of the legillature, the whole proceeding is immaterial. If it was a right adually enjoyed by Englifomen to fifh on the coafts of a plantation -and a grant by the crown of the fiflery to the people of the plantation excluding the people of England, could not divef them of their right - or, "if by the king's giving his confent to a bill paffed by lords and commons," "" the patent might be controuled"-it does not follow, that the king, lords and commons could diveft the people of the plantations of all their rights.
$\pm$ Cafe of the Opend Eaft India company.

- "Another light, in which the laws of England confider the king with regard to domeftic concerns, is the arbiter of commerce. By commerce, I at prefent mean domeftic
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ple of England were freemen, before they were mercbants. Whether they will continue free, they themflues muft determine. How they hall trade, muft be determined by Germans, French, Spaniards, Italians, Turks, Moors, छc. The right of acquiring, property depends on the rights of otbers: the right of acquired property, folely on the owner. The poffeffor is no owner without it. " Almoft every leaf and page of all the volumes of the Cominon Law prove this right of property $\dagger$." Why fhould this right be facred in Great Britain," the chief corner ftone" in the folid foundation of her conftitution, and an empty name in her colonies ? The lamb that prefumed to drink in the fame Aream with a ftronger animal, though lower down the current, could
commerce only. It would lead me into too large a field, if I were to attempt to enter upon the nature of foreign trade, its privileges, regulations, and reftrictions; and would be alfo quite befide the purpofe of thefe commentaries; which are confined to the laws of England. Whereas no municipal laws can be fufficient to order and determine the very extenjive and complicated affairs of traffic and merchandize; neither can they bave a proper autberity for this purpofe. For, as thefe are trandactions carried on between fubjects of independent ftates, the municiple laws of one will not be regarded by the other. For which reafon the affairs of commerce are regulated by a law of their own, called the law merchant or lex mercatoria, which all nations agree in and take notice of. And in particular jit is held to be part of the law of England, which decides the caules of merchants by the general rules which obtain in all commercial countries; and that often even in matters relating to domeftic trade, as for infance with regard to the drawing, the acceptance, and the tranffer of inland bills of exchange,"

+ Parlia. hift.
could not refute the charge of incommoding latter, by difturbing the water. Such power have realons that appear defpicable and deteftable at firit when they are properly enforced.

From this very principle arofe ber pozeer; and can that power now be juftly exerted, in fuppreflon of that principle? It cannot. Therefore, a power * of regulating our trade, involves not
in

- This ditinction between a fupreme legiffature, and a power of regulating trade, is not a new one. We find it clearly made, by the judges of England; at a period, when the modern proftable mode of blending rogether in yarliament the authorities of the crown and people, had not extinguithed all reverence for the principles of the conflitution.

By the flatute of the 2d.of Fienry Gth ch. 4th Calais was confirmed a ftaple place for the wool exported from England, Wales and lreland. Some wool fhipped from this laft kingdon, was config ed to Sluice, in Flanders. The Thip by t!reis of, weather was forced into Caluis, where the wool was feized as forfeited. The chief queftion in the exchequer chamber was, whether the flatute boind Ireland. In Rich: 3, 12, the cafe is thus reported. "Et ibi quoad ad primam queftionem dicebant, quod terra Hibernice inter fe habet par.fiamentuin \& omnimodo curias prout in Anglia, \& per idem parliamentum faciunt leges \& mutant leges, \& Non obligantur per statuta in Anglia, quia non hic hábent milites parliamenti; fed hoc inteligitur de terris per rebus in terris tantumefficiend; fed PERSONE EORUM SUNT SUBJECTI REGIS et tanquam fubjecierunt obligatiad aliquam remextra terran hlam Yaciendam conira flatutum, ficut habitantes in Cal-- lefa, Gafsognia, Guien, \&c. dum tuere fubjecti ; \& obedieñtes crunt subadmizalitate Anglie de re facta sUPEBALTUMMARE; et fimiliter breve de errore de judiciis redditis in Hibernia in banco regis hic in Anglia."

Brooke lord chief juttice of the common pleas, mentions the cafe alnof in the fame words, title parliament 98 -but
in it the idea of fupreme legiflature over us. The firft is a power of a preferving "protecting" nature.
go fays-" the chief junfice was of opinion, that the תtatutes of England Mall bind Ireland, which was in a manner agreed by the other jullices; and yet it was denied the former day: Yet note, that Iroland is a realm of itfelf, and bas a parliament in itfelf.".

Here it may be obferved, firf, that the reafon affigned. by the judges, why the flatutes of England bind not the people of Ireland, though 'fpecially named, contains a conftitutional principle, the fine qua of freedom. Secondly, that the piople of Ireland, as fubjects of the king, were " under the admiralty of England as to things done on the bigb . Jea;" which is a trong confirmation given by the judges of England, to the fuppofition before made, of the power of regulating trade being formeily vefted in the king. Thirdly, that the opinion of the chief juftice, and of the other juftices, fuch as it was, " reddendo fingula fingulis, \& fecundum fubjectam materiam," proves at moft; only that Ireland was bound by fatutes regulating their trade, for fuch was the 2 Henry 6 th ch. 4 th on which the cale arofe. Furtbly, thai Brocke a man of great eminence and dignity in the law, appears by his note, to have been ciffatisfied with the judgment, tho only on a ftature of regulation, for this reaion of fuch weight with an Engli/bman, " becaufe Ireland is a realm of itielf and has a parliament within itfelf." Fifthly, that the authority of the crown; including the regulation of the trade of Irelund, and fending writs of error there, were fufficient rellraints, to fecure the obedience and fubordination of that kingdom. This reafon feems to have held its ground, till lora chief jultice Ccke's time; and though a great reverence is entertained for his memory, yet it can never be ackn wledged, that an "obiter dietuni" of his, or of any other man, is a rule of law: 1 In Calvin's cafe, the chief jultice reciting the foreguing cafe, fays, "Hibernia habet pariamentum, \& taciunt leges, \& noftra fatüta non legant eos, quia non mittunt milites ad parliamentum (which" adds he," is to be underficod, unlefs they be effecialiy named) And does the ". efpecially naming then,", give them a repreientation, or emove the injultice of binding them withour it? This obfervation in phain Englifh would run thus. "Our itatutes
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nature. The laft, as applied to America, is fuch a power as Mr . Juftice Blackfone defcribes
do not bind the penple of Ireland, when we do not intend to bind them, beccuse, they are not reprecented in our parliament: but our llatutes bind them, when we intend to bind them." What is this but faying-" that to fipeak of the ir not being reprefented, is a mere jargon; and the file point is, whether it is our aid to bind them".- or in other words - "that our flatutes do not bind them for a reafon, as ftrong as man can give, and fo acknowle'ged by us to be, which yet, is no reafon at all: for, where th re is no occafion for its operation, it applies not; and where there is occafion, it is of no force" His LordMip had juft before taken notice that " a writ of arror did tve in the king's bench of England of an erroneous juigment in the king's bench of Iretand:" and per'aps that led him in the courfe of his ar ument to imagine, there might be a like preemineuce of the parliament of England over that of Ireland. That this was his reafon feems certain, becaufe at a meeting of commiffioners in confider of a projected union betwren Eugland and Scctland, at which the chief juftice was prefent-Moor 796, it is faid "that parliament has power over Ireland, As is PROVED by that a writ of error may be brought of a judgment in the king's bench of lreland." In the 4th init. he alfo fays the people of Guernfey, Jerfey and Man are not bound by the ftatutes of Eaglund, unlel's they are feecially named. Yet whoever examines the flatutes relating to Ireland, Guernfey, forfay and Ma", will have very little caufe to believe, that it has been thought in England, that flatutes would generally bind the people of thole countries, intwithinanding the fubjection of Ireland, and the other iflands, the many diftrefles of the former, and the weaknefs of the latter have afforded opportunities of extending fuch a power over them. With iefpect to all thefe places fearce a ftatute can be found of any period, but for tee regulation of their trade. The fame obfervation may be made as to Gafoigny, Guienne and Calais. Jufice Wylde in 2 vent. 5. faid, "he had feen a charter whereby thefe places were recited to be united to England by mutual pact. And writs of error run thofe." "Wales was a conquered country, and the people fubmitted to Earward the firß de alto et bafo."
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frribes in thefe words, " whofe enormous weight fpreads horror and deitruction on all inferior Q 2 movements."

Whatever pretence the chief j Mice's opinion was founded on, it has beenc.teiu is wrented in many law books fince. Wherher his Lndihp meant, tha: fla.ntes of England could bond the peosie of Ireland, in taking away trials by jury,... taxing them, and "in all cales whutioever," or on y in prefervi.ng their lutrordination, as by reguiating their trade, which was the cale referred to in his comment, does not appear. The parliament in declaring the dependence of Ireland, did not venture to claim a pover of binding the propic of that kingiom "in all cafis whatfoever." "With refpect to all thete declarations, however, as they are made to iefer to us, we may anfiwer as the lion did to the man in the table.

Much the fame arbitrary con Atiuntion has been made on the quettion; whe her a man could be tried in England on 2 charge of committing treafon in Irelund. n ousen Elizabeth's reign," Gerrade, chancelior of Ireland moved that quetion to the counle of the queer, and it was held by Wray, Dter, and (ierrarde, atturne; genemet, he could not, becaufe he was a fubject of Ireland and not of England, and if tiied in England, he could not be treed by his peers." Dier, 360. Afterwards, to gratify the queen's refentment againlt fome rebels, they were tried in England; and thus pallion and complaifance made very good law againft reafon and justice.

Having mentioned Calrin's cafe, it may not be improper to obterve, that if the author of "the cöntroveriy" had taken the trouble of reading it, he might have found his perplexities removed on the queftion that has given him Su mach anxiety, and brought fuch aload of reproaches on the colonies. He is pravoked at our infolence for pretending to be any thing more than aizens in England, while we deny the power of parliament to bind us "in all cafes whatever." In that cafe, the gentleman would have difcovered, that the judges of England held, that a man born in Scotland; under the allegiance of James the firt, after his acceflion to the throne
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movements." The firft is a power fubject tc a conftitutional check. Great Britain cannot injure us by taking away our commerce withotit hurting herfelf immediately. The laft is a power without check or limit. She might ruin us by it. The injury thereby to herfelf might be remote as to be defpifed by her.

The power of regulation was the only band that could have held us together; formed on one of thefe " original contracts,"-which only can be a foundation of juft authority. Without fuch a band, our general commerce with foreign nations, might have been injurious and deftructive to her. Reafon and duty reject fuch a licence. This our duty refembles that of children to a parent. The parent has a nower over them: but they
throne of England, was encitled to all the rights of 2 fubjeet born in England; tho.igh the objection, that ftatutes of Engiand could not bind Scotland, or a man refiding there, who held lands in England, was mentioned in the courfe of the argument. That great difficulty being got over, if the gentleman, will go a iep farther, and perceive fome little diftinstion betiveen colonies proceeding out of the loins of England, and the "conquered". countries of Ircland and Wales, - the countries of Gafooigny Guienne, and Calais. "united by mutual paEF to England" and the illands of Guiernfey, \&cc "lying within ibe four Seas, whofe Sovere:gns annexed them to England:" and wilh only allow the colouils a lettle more regard than is trofeft in law buoks for tbofs countries, ard about as much as has been afually obforved towards t em by parliament, he will have no furthet occafion to fay ievere things of thofe, who are willing to efteem him; and hen, if he can perfuade his worthy countrymen to adopt his fentiments, their anger will no longer give pain to thofe who almol adore them.
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the Commentaries. "The mianing of the legiflature, when it ufes thefe terms of empire and imperial, and applies them to the realm and crown of England, is only to affert, that our king is equally fovereign and independent within thete his dominions; and orves no kind of fubjeciion to any potentate upon earch." Thus we maintain, that with regard to foreign affairs, the parent original ftate, " is the delegate or reprefentative," of the entire dominions, " the fovereign power Quoad hoc is vefted " in her. Her acts under this power " irrevocably bind the whole nation." But yet this power by no means imiplies a fupreme. legijlatnre.

The exercife of this power by flatutes was abfol...ely neceflary; becaufe it was, and could only be lodged, as the laws of the parent ftate ftand in the fuprerie leginature of that ftate, confifting of king, lords, and commons; and fatutes are the modes by which this united fentimients and refolutionsare expret. It is univerfally acknowledged in Great-Britain, hat it in fers no power of taxation in King and lords, that sheir limited authority is ufed in cloathing, gifts and grants of the commons with the forms of $i$ wow - nor does it infer fupreme legiflature over us, that the limited authority of king, lords, and commons is ufed in cloathing regulations of trade with the form of law. The commons joining in the law, is not material. The difference is only in the mode of affent. Theirs is exprefs, ours is implied, as the aflent of the " whole nation," is, in the preceeding inftances.

This power of regulation appears to us to have been pure in its principle, fimple in its operation, and falutary in its effects. But for fome tume paft we have obferved, with pain, that it hath been turned to other purpofes, than it was origmally defigned for, and retaining its title, hath become an engine of intolerable oppreffions and grievous taxations. The argument of an eminent judge, ftates the point in a fimilar cafe itrongly for us, in thefe words. " Though it be granted, that the king hath the cuftody of the bavens and ports of this inland, being the very gates of this kingdom, and is trufted. with the keys of thefe gates; yet the inference and argument thereupon made, I utterly deny. For in it there is mutatio bypotbefis, and a tranfition from a thing of une nature to another; as the premijes are of a power only fiduciary, and in point of truft and government, and the concluffon infers a rigbt of intereft and gain. Admit the king has cuftodiam portuum, yet he hath but the cuffocy, which is a truft and not dominium utile. He hath power tu open and fout, upon consideration of public good to the people and state, but not to make gain and benefit by it: the one is in otection, the other is expilation." By coma on law the king may reftrain a fubject from going abroad, or enjoin him by his chancellor from proceeding at saw: But to conclude, that he may therefore take money, not to reftrain or not to enjoin, is to sell government, trust, and common justice*.
*Rights of the people, as to impofitions.

For "the cqui! fum" ih note pf pa. 2t.-iread-1", the fame fum." For " raifing money" in fame note 8.-" raifing and expending mone:"
Dele in fanse note thefe words-" The fame reafoning holds as to the application of money."
Dele ill pa. $39^{\circ}$ the fe words-" no Englim ( " the conftitution lewver, as wes remember, has poisted nut $\}$ r. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { has not exprefsly } \\ \text { dramn." }\end{array}\right.$ presifiely"
Dele in fame pa. thefe words-" General term have been ufed. Delc in note of pa. 47-this word-"." hecalle."
In note of pa. 58 -afier 9 Geo. 3 ch. 4 r.-r. 7. Gen. 3 ch. 40. In note of pa. $\sigma$ r. after the word " Governmeat"- 5 "; confifs", In note of pa. $8_{4}$ for " pa. 12 I "-r.-p. 120 .

A P, P E N D•I X.
Addition to Note illin pa. 51 .
THF. Natutes fince the 8 th year of this reign relating to the colo-4- Dies, follow one ahother much in the fame guick manner as hefore: but they could not tiè collected. Many: of the Natutes here mentioned, partirularly the fer relaing to the admiralty cours atid the commiffuners of the cunt $1 ;$ connected with a multitude of other fatutes, be being oc : With which, the artifices will appear, thit gradially depat g fiom the laivs of England, have at lengih invefted thefe courts and enmmiffiners with fuch new, unreafonahle, unconftiturional and dangerous powers.

$$
\text { Additional Note to pa. } 80 .
$$

THE whole country of the feven United Protinces is not as large as one half of Pennglyavia; and when they began their conteft with Philip the fecond tor their liberty, contained about as manv inhahitants as are now in the province of Moffachuretts-Bay. Philip's empire then comerehended in Europe, all spin and Portugnl, the two Sicilies, and fach provinces of the Lout Countries as adhered to him, -man inands of importance in the Mediterranean-the Milancfe and many other very valuable iestitorice in Italy and elfewhere. In Africa and Afia, all the dominions belonging to, Spgim and Portu-gal-in America the immenfe countries fubject to thofe two kingdoms, with all their treafures and yet uneshanfed mines, and the Spaniff irel-ludres. His armies werenumerous and veteran, excellently officered, and commanded by the minit renowned generals. So great was their force, that during the wars in the Lovv Countries, his commander in chief the prince of Parma, marched twice into Frante, and obliged that great general and glorious king Henry the fourth, to raife at one time the fiege of Paris and at another, that of Roan." So confiderable was the naval power of Philip, that in the midn of the fame wats, he fi ted out his dreadful armade to invade England.* Yct feven little provinces, or counties, as we ffould call them, infpired by one generous refolution-" to die free, rather than to live naves," not óuly baffled, but broight down into thé duf, that enormous power, that had contended for univerfal empite, and for half a century, was the terror of the world. Such an amazing change inderd took place, "that thofe provinces afterwards aftually protectsd Sfain againf the power of Princei.
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[^14]:    * "Lay their at my feet." \& See Gen. Gage's precla. $\dagger$ Refolutions in parlizment for trying colonifts ia England. Rhade-Iftand Court. Late acts for Maflachifotls-Eay.
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